The Impact of Athletic Performance on Academic Achievement of Division I Stud	dent-Athletes

# A Synthesis Project

# Presented to the

Department of Kinesiology, Sports Studies and Physical Education

# SUNY Brockport

In Partial Fulfilment

Of the Requirements for the Degree

Master of Science in Education

(Athletic Administration)

By

Dominick Zallo

12/18/2020

#### SUNY BROCKPORT

## STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

## BROCKPORT, NEW YORK

Department of Kinesiology, Sport Studies, and Physical Education

The Impact of Athletic Performance on Academic Achievement of Division I Student-Athletes

12/23/20

Carly Houston-Wilson

12/23/20

Instructor Approval

Date

Accepted by the Department of Kinesiology, Sport Studies, and Physical Education, The College at Brockport, State University of New York, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science in Education (Physical Education).

Carly Houston-Wilson

12/23/20

Chairperson Approval

Date

## Acknowledgements

This page is purposefully here to acknowledge and thank a few individuals for assisting me not only throughout the long process of completing this study, but as well as my career as a graduate student here at SUNY Brockport. I would like to thank my parents, brother, sister and girlfriend for being a constant source for support and encouragement to be my absolute best.

Next, I would like to thank Rick Amundson, for being an excellent role model of a professor, who ultimately influenced me to discover this topic. Along with that I would like to express my gratitude towards Dr. Christine Hopple, who helped me grow my knowledge of research processes in both the qualitative and quantitative respects. Finally, I want to acknowledge Dr. Cathy Houston-Wilson, for not only providing incredible support throughout the entire synthesis process, but for also being a stand out academic advisor! Thank you all!

# Table of Contents

Title Page	1
Signature Page.	2
Acknowledgments	3
Table of Contents.	4
Abstract	5
Chapter 1.	6
Chapter 2.	8
Chapter 3	11
Chapter 4.	19
Reference Page	25
Appendix A	27

#### Abstract

When considering Division I student-athletes, their athletic abilities are the first thing that comes to mind, when it comes to their academic responsibilities, things are often overlooked. Today the NCAA oversees a four billion dollar athletics industry in the United States made up of over 75,000 student-athletes participating in 36 different sports at the Division I level. Considering a small percentage of these student-athletes go on to compete at the professional level, the ultimate goal of attending college is to graduate and move onto a career rather than participate in athletics. With that being said, between the large time commitments and the overall importance placed on athletes, academic eligibility and academic performance can be extremely stressful for both the athlete and coach. In order to determine ways to better support Division I student athletes, their time commitment, academic support system success and impact on grade point average were all evaluated. The overall purpose of this synthesis project was to review the literature on the relationship of athletic participation on academic achievement of Division I intercollegiate athletes. Results indicated the average Division I student-athlete can have a time commitment of nearly 40 hours per week between academics, athletics, leisure activities, socialization and other maintenance activities. Along with that, results noted that while academic support systems have improved greatly, there is room for improvement and until the academic gap is closed they will not be adequate. Finally, there was a variance in results on the impact on grade point average, so it was concluded more research is needed to draw conclusions.

#### **Chapter One - Introduction**

University athletic administrators and academic advisors are constantly seeking and developing programs that help student athletes navigate through the social, academic and personal intricacies of university life (Chen, Mason, Middleton & Salazar, 2013). In 2007, the NCAA launched a television campaign with the tagline "There are over 380,000 student-athletes, and most of us go pro in something other than sports." With the purpose of promoting the importance of academics for NCAA student athletes. Student athletes must leverage their academic achievements to demonstrate their capital (Insler & Karam, 2019).

Physical activity can enhance academic performance by increasing the flow of blood to the brain, enhancing arousal levels, changing hormonal secretion, increasing mental alertness and improving self-esteem (Bailey, 2005). With that being said, athletic participation can be very time consuming. NCAA rules state that teams may not extend beyond 20 hours of practice or competition per week during the season and eight hours per week during the offseason. Certain things such as compliance meetings are not included (NCAA, 2020). Student-athletes are often isolated from the traditional student population of the institution, mainly due to increased involvement in sport (Scott & Castles, 2017). While graduation rates of Division 1 student athletes have increased over the past 25 years, there is still much room for growth (NCAA, 2009). Academic support systems and coaching staff perceived the communicated importance of academics appears to play a role in student-athlete success (Hazelbaker, 2015). Additionally, it appears academic support systems are not "one size fits all". Not all standard formats for support systems such as study hall may be an appropriate method for helping today's athletes to 'make the grade' (Dilley-Knoles, Jennifer, Burnett & Peak, 2010).

#### **Statement of the Problem:**

The purpose of attending school is to learn, grow and make achievements in your young adult life. For some students, it comes easily, others not as much. Athletics can be seen as a reward or motivating factor for students to reach higher achievement levels. The problem here is each school's athletic and educational programs differ and results of studies on this matter vary. With that information athletic departments should consistently research the best methods to run an educational athletic department focused on maximizing student-athlete achievement.

# **Purpose of the Study:**

The purpose of this synthesis project is to review the literature on the relationship of athletic participation on academic achievement of Division I intercollegiate athletes.

## **Operational Definitions:**

- Academic Achievement: Performing at a high level in the classroom, including but not limited to classroom participation, handing in assignments, creating quality work, punctuality in class, high grades.
- 2. GPA: Grade Point Average.
- 3. GSR: Graduation Success Rate.
- 4. Student-Athlete: A student who is enrolled full time as a student while simultaneously participating in a team or individual based sport.
- 5. Full Time Student: A student enrolled in a minimum of 12 undergraduate (or 9 graduate) credit hours per semester.

## **Research Questions:**

- 1. What is the typical weekly/daily time commitment of a Division I student-athlete?
- 2. Are student-athletes provided adequate academic support systems?

3. How does athletic participation impact grade point average?

## **Delimitations:**

- 1. Only Division 1 intercollegiate student-athletes will be studied.
- 2. Only student-athletes enrolled as full time students will be included.
- 3. Only students participating in a school connected athletic program will be included.
- 4. Articles had to fit certain criteria for inclusion, they were required to be published in academic journals or by the National Collegiate Athletic Association within the last 10 years, (with the exception for two articles for minor supportive claims or numbers).
  Articles needed to be full texts and provide supportive information towards the research question, sub questions and review of literature.

#### **Chapter Two - Methods**

The purpose of this chapter is to review the methods utilized to review the literature on the effects of athletic participation on academic achievement in Division I student-athletes. The studies collected for this synthesis were located using the EBSCO database from The College at Brockport's Drake Library. Within the EBSCO database the following database was searched: SPORTDiscus. In addition, information was obtained from the National College Athletics Association (NCAA) website.

The SPORTDiscus database was navigated through utilization of key words relating to the research question including athletic participation, academic achievement, academic performance, student-athlete support systems, Division I student-athletes, and cognitive function. These searches all resulted in thousands of results. Search results were further refined by only allowing for full text articles to be discovered. This was done to allow the researcher to be provided with only full studies and not partial where information may be left out. Additionally, these works must be from published academic journals no earlier than the year 2010. This is to provide this synthesis with the most valid and up to date information to support the findings of the review. Athletic participation and academic achievement were searched together, they provided 38 results. When searched on its own, student-athlete support systems found 40 results, when combined with academic performance one result was provided. When searching cognitive function and academic performance, 44 results were obtained. Lastly when Division I studentathletes and academic performance were searched, 34 results were yielded. A total of 10 articles were utilized for this review of literature, nine of which have been included. Criteria for inclusion beyond the parameters previously stated required that the article revolve around the

topic relating to the purpose of the study or the research questions. The academic journal must be peer reviewed and published no earlier than 2010.

The information provided by the NCAA website was found by a simple search on their website. The NCAA is the main governance over all intercollegiate athletic departments.

Information of their most up to date compliance rules and regulations is an essential factor to demonstrate the time requirements of Division I student-athletes. The research of the NCAA website concluded in obtaining an eHandbook of Bylaw Article 17 as well as an article which displays the increased graduation rate for intercollegiate athletes.

Specific criteria were required for articles to be applicable to this synthesis. The study must be in relation to the effects of athletic participation on academic achievement. These articles were required to be based around undergraduate and graduate student athletes participating in a Division I university sanctioned program. In addition, student-athletes included were required to be enrolled as full-time students, not part time.

For this synthesis, a total number of 13 articles were utilized to gather data for the review of literature. Nine of which were obtained from academic journals. These academic journals include *Sports Journal, Kentucky Association for Health, Physical Education, Recreation & Dance, International Journal of Sport Management, Recreation & Tourism, Journal of Sport Economics, Educational Review, Virginia Journal, Journal of Intercollegiate Sport and Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology.* 

The critical mass for this synthesis was 1,775 Division I athletes. Subjects ranged in age from 18 to 23. 806 of these students were male, 308 were female, the gender of the remaining 661 students were not disclosed by their respective study.

Data was analyzed through a number of different methods. These methods include descriptive statistics comparing things that mediate the relationship between athletic participation and academic achievement. These statistics also assessed the relationship of different behavioral datas and the academic achievements of Division I student-athletes. These datas were obtained through methods such as semi-structured interviews, likert and number scaled surveys, questionnaires, university records and self-reported grades or behaviors. Due to the recommendations of these contributing authors, a discussion of student-athlete academic achievement in relation to athletic participation was formed.

#### **Chapter 3 Review of Literature**

The purpose of this chapter is to review the literature on the effects of athletic participation on academic achievement of Division I student-athletes. The topics included in this literature review include student-athlete time commitments, student-athlete support systems and impact on grade point average. These are three of the most important aspects that are taken into consideration when observing the student-athlete experience.

When thinking of a Division I student-athlete, one thinks of their athletic abilities. While their athletic performance is important, ultimately, they are attending the university for academics. It is essential to understand the factors behind student-athletes maintaining well-balanced athletic, academic and social lives. The first aspect to be reviewed is the time commitment associated with participating in Division I athletics.

#### **Student-Athlete Time Commitment**

What is the typical schedule of a Division I student-athlete? Chen, Mason, Middleton and Salazar (2013), examined this through behavioral data and testing scores to verify the best indicators of student-athletes' academic performance for balancing academic achievement and athletic participation. 186 voluntary Division I student-athletes completed an 11-item daily life behavioral survey. Additionally, they provided their student ID numbers to obtain their GPA.

The results of this study indicated student-athletes spend a daily average of six hours per day attending classes and studying, four hours per day at practices and competition, between 3.25 and 3.75 hours per day on social and leisure activities, 7.5 hours of sleep per night while also participating in equal amounts of campus activities as their non-athletic peers. The average GPA was a 3.09, which is in good standing.

Since 1991, student-athlete time has been limited to four hours per day and 20 hours in a single week per NCAA Division I bylaw 2.14. Another study done by Ayers, Dobose and Pazmino-Cevallos (2012), sampled 59 Division I student-athletes concerning time spent on both athletic and academic activities. The purpose of this study was to monitor student-athlete time spent on athletic activities. A survey was administered to the subjects who were asked to recall time spent weekly on academic and athletic activities. Once completed they were asked to record their weekly time spent on academic and athletic activities.

The results from this study revealed student athletes can spend anywhere from 14-30 hours in one week on athletic activities during the competitive season, 34% responded exactly 20. It was reported student-athletes spend between five and eight hours per week during the off season. Academics wise student-athletes indicated spending an average of 16.75 hours per week on academics during the competitive season. During the off season, student-athletes reported spending an average of 14.25 hours per week on academic activities.

Sleep concerns are prevalent among student-athletes and can result in impaired athletic and academic performance. Kaier et al., (2016), developed a study to investigate the feasibility and effectiveness of a brief sleep workshop for Division I student-athletes. 152 student-athletes completed a questionnaire relating to examining stress, health and performance among student-athletes, they then attended the sleep workshop in the fall semester, as well as two follow up workshops, the first two weeks later, the other three to four months later.

Results of this study indicated knowledge of sleep importance raised significantly from 39.8% to 82.5%, along with that 51% of student-athletes reported at least one sleep behavior change after attending the workshop. The results also indicated student-athletes reported a decrease in daytime sleepiness as well as an increase in daytime function.

## **Student-Athlete Support Systems**

With intercollegiate athletics playing a major role in higher education in the United States, student-athletes are undoubtedly a major focus. Critics in higher education have argued that universities are exploiting their athletes and failing to fulfil their educational obligations to them. Hazzaa, Song and You (2018), set out to discover the student-athletes' satisfaction with academic support services and athletic departments as well as how those feelings related to GPA. 226 Division I student-athletes completed a 22 question survey assessing their satisfaction among four categories, facilities, staff, tutoring and advising programs.

Results of this study revealed that student-athletes are satisfied with the academic services, however it was noted that satisfaction rates were higher with upperclassmen in comparison to freshman. Additionally, facilities and staff have a significant influence on student-athlete satisfaction with academic services. Along with that, there was a significant relationship found with satisfaction with the department and GPA.

The overall importance placed on Division I student-athletes academic eligibility can be extremely stressful for both the coach and student-athlete. In order to participate, the student-athlete must remain academically eligible; thus, various academic support programs have been implemented by athletic departments as a means of maintaining eligibility and accomplishing the goal of academic success. The question remains, are they successful? Burnett, Dilley-Knowles and Peak (2010) set out to find the answer. The purpose of this study was to determine if the academic support program of a Division I University is successful. 379 student-athletes grades based on a four point scale were recorded.

The results of this study indicated that female student-athletes significantly performed better than their male counterparts. Along with that there are variances in grades of different sports. In conclusion, they are not as the academic gap is not closed.

Over the past 25 years the NCAA has put into place a series of academic reforms in response to various concerns for student-athletes and their academic lives. While improvements are being seen, there is still much room for growth. It can be noted that Men's Division I Basketball has the highest amount of academically ineligible student-athletes as well as the lowest graduation success rate. Hazelbaker (2015), completed a study focused on them and the factors affecting graduation rates. The compliance director from 89 Division I Universities filled out an electronic survey. The survey consisted of questions relating to demographics on academic policies and institutional programs that may have an impact on graduation rates.

The results indicated that of the 4,151 Division I Men's basketball players included in this study only 46.9% of them graduated within the six year period. Additionally records indicated that based on descriptive statistics, having an academic support staff in place has a positive correlation of .273 with graduating.

The ultimate goal of the college experience is graduation, the NCAA has devoted attention to researching student-athlete graduation rates for more than two decades. When the Graduation Success Rate was created nearly two decades ago, then-NCAA President Myles Brand set an aspirational goal of 80%. Student-athletes first surpassed that goal with the release of the rates in 2011. As a result, the NCAA created the Graduation Success Rate (GSR) for Division I. The NCAA, set out to evaluate the graduation success rate to compare the current graduation rate of this year with that of when it was first launched in 2002. All colleges and universities are required by NCAA legislation and federal law (the Student Right-to-Know act from 1990) to

report student graduation rates, and those institutions offering athletics aid are required to report for their student-athletes as well.

Results of this study indicated that the graduation success rate has increased significantly. In 2002, the GSR reported that 74% of Division I student-athletes graduated, in 2019 89% of Division I student-athletes graduated. Based on the support system changes made since 2002, 29,633 additional student-athletes have graduated (Brutag Hosick, 2019).

Division I members have adopted academic rule and policy changes intended to improve the academic performance of student-athletes. Similarly to work done in 2019, the NCAA set out to discover if support systems for Division I student-athletes were effective in 2020. They once again evaluated the Graduation Success Rate to identify the variances from 2019, when record numbers were recorded (Brutag Hosick, 2020).

The results indicated that 90% of Division I student-athletes graduated that enrolled in 2012 or sooner. Along with that, the GSR for Men's Basketball increased by 4%, being that they consistently have been the lowest performing, this is a big increase. The results from 2019 indicated an additional 29,633 students graduated; if no changes were made from 2002 to now, that number increased to 33,505. An additional 3,872 student-athletes graduated in the past year. (Brutag Hosick, 2020).

## **Impact on Grade Point Average**

There are over 380,000 student-athletes, and most of them go into something other than sports. Athletic participation is an endogenous decision with respect to educational outcomes. To identify the causal effect, Insler and Karam (2017), developed an instrumental variable via the Universities random assignment of students into peer groups. The purpose of this study was to determine the impact of athletic participation on grades of their students. Grades of student-

athletes were obtained from the United States Naval Academy Office of Institutional Research.

Grades of each student-athlete were observed from both before they began attending the

University and their current grades.

Results from this study indicated athletic participation modestly reduces the grades of recruited student-athletes. The average GPA of a freshman was a 2.53, a sophomore average GPA was 2.72, the average GPA of juniors was 2.85 and the average GPA of seniors was a 2.98. It is evident that as student-athletes progress through college, their GPA increases. Prior to attending, the mean GPA of student-athletes was a 2.78.

In the past two decades, the concept of emotional intelligence (EI) has gained a great deal of attention and popularity among different disciplines. This may be due to its potential contribution to daily functioning, health and well-being of the individual and society at large. Dobersek and Arellano (2017), set out to understand if involvement in intercollegiate athletics moderates the relationship between emotional intelligence and academic achievement. 203 student-athletes completed surveys pertaining to this as well as their GPA.

Results of this study indicated that student-athletes obtained an average 3.22 GPA while their non-athletic peers averaged a 3.06. It was also noted that results from this study did not align with other studies on this matter.

It is evident based on previous research that college students benefit when they are integrated into the social and academic components of higher education. Due to the time commitment of athletics, student-athletes are often isolated from the traditional student population. Castles and De Vol Scott (2017), completed a study with the purpose of addressing student-athletes at historically black universities along with determining strategies and programs for improved student-athlete performance. Academic records of 223 student-athletes were

obtained from the National Survey for Student Engagement pertaining to the amount of time and effort student-athletes put into their studies and other educational activities. Additionally, it provided data on how the institution facilitated its resources and organized other opportunities for student-athlete learning.

Results from this study indicated the majority of student-athletes spent between one and ten hours per week preparing for class. 38% of the students who reported this also reported grades of A or A-, 52% reported between B- and B+ and 9% reported between C and C+. Along with that, 57% of the student-athletes who reported grades of A- or better lived on campus.

#### Summary

When examining the relationship between athletic participation of Division I studentathletes, the most important aspects to consider are student-athlete time commitments, studentathlete support systems and impact on grade point average. With the large increase in graduation
success rates, it is evident that many improvements have been made. There is still much to learn
on the topic as not all athletic programs consistently reach the same achievement levels.

Additionally, situations for Division I Universities are not the same to another, with that being
said there is still much to learn on this topic.

The importance of educating student-athletes to maintain a well-balanced academic, athletic and social life can be very important to the overall success of a student-athlete during their college career. With knowledge of the three components and how they are interrelated, student-athletes can lead to better study habits, time management skills, academic achievement, better sleeping habits and reduce stress levels. Additionally, with knowledge increasing, university athletic administrators, academic advisors, coaches, support staff, faculty and parents can better assist and support student-athletes.

There will be some student-athletes who are uninterested in achieving academically and only care about athletic performance. It is important to try and reach these student-athletes and inspire them to strive for success. Academic achievement in college is very important, the things one learns as a student can be leveraged upon graduation in order to obtain a job post-graduation. With increased knowledge on the topic more will become educated and proactive about assisting student-athletes.

## Chapter 4

# Results, Discussion and Recommendations for Future Research

The purpose of this chapter is to present the results of the review of literature on the effects of athletic participation on academic achievement of Division I student-athletes and how these results align with the research questions that provided the guidelines for this synthesis project. Along with that, recommendations and implications for future research of offering support to Division I student-athletes are identified.

#### **Results**

The results of the review of literature indicated that student-athletes do in fact have a very time consuming schedule that requires a large commitment. Athletics and academics alone can take up 10 hours in a single day, atop of their social life. It is imperative that coaches, athletic administrators, support staff, parents and faculty actively promote a healthy, well-balanced academic, athletic and social lifestyle.

The results of the review of literature indicate that while academic support systems have come a long way and demonstrate significant improvement in the Division I student-athlete graduation rate, it is impossible to determine if academic support systems are adequate for all student-athletes as they all have individual needs and different learning styles. All Division I athletic departments are different, a support system may work for Duke University, but may not be the right fit for the University of Alabama. Support systems need to be based around a program and its specific weaknesses that are holding back a portion of the program. As such, there are many variances to be seen in impact on student-athlete grade point averages based on factors such as sport, degree, gender and race.

#### **Discussion**

## **Interpretations**

As part of this review of literature, three research questions were developed to guide it as well as the study as a whole. The first question was what is the typical weekly/daily time commitment of a Division I student-athlete? Chen, Mason, Middleton and Salazar (2013), concluded that the average Division I student-athlete spends six hours per day attending classes and studying, four hours per day at practices or competitions, between three and four hours daily on leisure activities and seven and a half hours sleeping. Ayers, Dobose and Pazmino-Cevallos (2012), concluded student-athletes can spend anywhere between 14 and 30 hours per week on athletics during the season and five to eight hours per week during the off-season. Additionally, their results indicated student-athletes spend an average 16.75 on academics during the season compared to 14.25 during the offseason. Lastly, Kaier, et al (2016), concluded that when student-athletes are informed of proper sleep behavior student-athletes have a decrease in daytime sleepiness as well as an increase in daytime function.

The second research question examined was are student-athletes provided adequate academic support systems? While research indicates that nine out of ten Division I student-athletes graduate (Brutlag Hosick, 2020), it appears that athletic support systems are not "one size fits all" (Burnett, Dilley-Knoles & Peak, 2010). The NCAA attributes the graduation of an additional 33,505 student-athletes over the past 25 years to increased academic support (Brutlag Hosick, 2020), however there are variances in GPA and GSR between sports and gender, which suggests some student-athletes may not be getting the support they need. Men's basketball has the highest non-qualifying student-athlete rate as well as the lowest graduation success rate

(46.9%) (Hazelbaker, 2015). Until GPAs and the GSR of all programs and genders are similar, it can be argued that they are not adequate for all Division I student-athletes.

The third and final research question examined was how does athletic participation impact grade point average? There was a variance seen in the results of the studies examined in this review of literature. Chen, Mason, Middleton and Salazar (2013), concluded based on behavioral data and resting scores the average student-athletes GPA was a 3.09. Dobersek and Arellano (2017), concluded based on their survey results the average student-athletes GPA was a 3.22. Castles and De Vol Scott (2017), concluded based on grades obtained 90% of student athletes obtained a B or higher letter grade in their classes. Lastly, Insler and Karam (2019), concluded based on grades obtained athletic participation has a modest negative impact in freshman and sophomore student-athletes, who performed between .06 to .25 of a grade point lower academically at the university versus prior to enrollment.

## **Implications**

When it comes to previous research on the effects of athletic participation on academic achievement, there is a general agreement that athletics can be associated with positive outcomes related to academics assuming the student-athletes are provided with proper support and encouragement from head coaches, support staff and faculty. These actions will result in student-athletes having a higher satisfaction towards the athletic department and the academic support services they are provided with. Not all Division I athletic departments are the same, it is incredibly important that student-athlete educational opportunities are kept under a close watch on a program level, searching for weaknesses to improve rather than for seeking generalization of "what's good" for the athletic department as a whole. Following along with this point, some

sports such as football and basketball have a greater time commitment than a sport like tennis, which should be kept in mind when developing a new support program for student-athletes.

There was a variance in results seen relating to the impact of athletic participation on grade point average. While most studies concluded a majority of positive results, (Chen, Mason, Middleton & Salazar, 2013), (Dobersek & Arellano, 2017) and (Castles & De Vol Scott, 2017) the study done by Insler and Karam (2019), concluded a modest negative correlation when comparing performance from before attending the university to throughout their career as a student-athlete. There was a variance in results seen in previous studies as well.

From the results obtained from all of the studies, it shows athletic participation can positively affect academic achievement if mediated by the appropriate surroundings. The commonly held belief that student-athletes are "dumb jocks" despite the psychological and physical benefits obtained from athletic participation, which can be disproven. Additionally, the belief that athletics crowd up a students' schedule, student-athletes learn from early on in their athletic career to manage their emotions and utilize them accordingly to maintain a healthy lifestyle between academics, athletics and a social life.

These implications have added onto the existing knowledge of the relationship between athletic participation and academic achievement. With an increase in knowledge, athletic department employees, academic advisors, head coaches, support staff and faculty members have a better understanding of how to improve upon current support systems. Additionally, they will become more aware of the support needed by Division I student-athletes. It's very important administration, academic advisors, head coaches, support staff and faculty members understands how to properly support and motivate their student-athletes, providing them the opportunity to succeed and in turn increasing the university GPA and GSR to better the schools statistics.

#### **Recommendations for Future Research**

In reviewing the data base on the effects of athletic participation and its effects on academic achievement of Division I student-athletes, the following limitations were identified during the review process. Athletic departments range in size based on enrollment in the university, number of programs sanctioned by the athletic department and student demographic. Along with that, each sport has different time requirements, so some student-athletes have a commitment that takes away from their time that may be allocated to academics. These two aspects limit the ability to which the results from the literature can be generalized. Along with that, many studies utilized self-reported grades and perceptions from student-athletes, it is assumed they told the truth, but it is a possibility that they reported false grades, commitments and perceptions in order to make themselves, program or university look more favorable in the eyes of the researchers. Lastly, student-athletes grades should be compared with non-athletic student grades to demonstrate the variance athletics can create.

Based on these limitations and other insights pertaining to the literature the following recommendations should be considered for future research.

- Further research should be done on the effects of athletic participation on student athlete GPA.
- 2. When researching effects on the GPA and graduation success rate, researchers should obtain records from the university rather than self-reports by student-athletes.
- 3. Further research should be done on the effectiveness of student-athlete support systems, but at a specific university and program level, rather than seeking for generalizations about Division I as a whole.

#### **Summary**

The purpose of this literature review was to determine how participation in athletics affects the academic achievement of Division I student-athletes. Delimiting variables were used to complete a comprehensive data-based search. This extensive search yielded 13 articles to be included in this review. These articles were then purposefully used to explain how participation in athletics can benefit the academic achievement of Division I student-athletes.

Research revealed that student-athletes have a very time consuming schedule, but they should be taught to maintain a balanced student-athlete life. Academic support systems are essential to assisting student-athlete academic achievement, ensuring the program implemented for the athletic department is adequate for all programs. There are still varied results on the impact athletics has on grade point average, some studies have concluded a positive relationship, some negative.

There is still room for more research, student-athletes will always struggle with academics at some point, administrators, coaches, support staff and faculty must have the knowledge on how to provide support or encouragement to the student-athlete. With an increase in content of this topic, more will become educated and will proactively try to help.

#### References

- Ayers, K., Pazmino-Cevallos, M., & Dobose, C. (2012). The 20-Hour Rule: Student-Athletes

  Time Commitment to Athletics and Academics. *Virginia Journal*, (22), 21-27.
- Bailey, R. (2005). Evaluating the Relationship Between Physical Education, Sport and Social Inclusion [Abstract]. *Educational Review*, 71-90. Retrieved March 23, 2020.
- Brutag Hosick, M. (2020, November 23). DI college athletes reach 90% graduation rate.

  Retrieved December 14, 2020, from <a href="http://www.ncaa.org/about/resources/media-center/news/di-college-athletes-reach-90-graduation-rate">http://www.ncaa.org/about/resources/media-center/news/di-college-athletes-reach-90-graduation-rate</a>
- Brutlag Hosick, M. (2019, October 16). DI student-athletes graduate at record high rates.

  Retrieved December 14, 2020, from <a href="http://www.ncaa.org/about/resources/media-center/news/di-student-athletes-graduate-record-high-rates">http://www.ncaa.org/about/resources/media-center/news/di-student-athletes-graduate-record-high-rates</a>
- Chen, S., Mason, N., I, S., & Salazar, W. (2013). An Examination of Behavioral Data and

  Testing Scores as Indicators of Student-Athletes' Academic Success. *Kentucky Newsletter*for Health, Physical Education, Recreation & Dance, 51(1), 1-10.
- Dilley-Knoles, J., Burnett, J. S., & Peak, K. W. (2010). Making the Grade: Academic Success in Today's Athlete. *Sport Journal*, *13*(1), 6.
- Dobersek, Urska, and Denise L Arellano. "Investigating the Relationship between Emotional Intelligence, Involvement in Collegiate Sport, and Academic Performance." *Sport Journal*, 17 Aug. 2017, pp. 1–8.
- Hazelbaker, C. (2015). NCAA Non-Qualifiers: Factors Effecting Graduation Rates. *International Journal of Sport Management Recreation and Tourism*, 18, 26-40.
- Hazzaa, R. N., Sonkeng, K., & Yoh, T. (2018). Antecedents and Consequences of Student-

- Athletes' Contentment With Academic Services. *Journal of Intercollegiate Sport*, 11(1), 65–81.
- Insler, M. A., & Karam, J. (2019). Do Sports Crowd Out Books? The Impact of Intercollegiate Athletic Participation on Grades. *Journal of Sports Economics*, 20(1), 115-154. Retrieved September 12, 2020.
- Kaier, E., Zanotti, D., Davis, J. L., Strunk, K., & Cromer, L. D. (2016). Addressing the Problem of Student-Athlete Sleepiness: Feasibility of Implementing an Interactive SleepWorkshop at a Division I School. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, 10(3), 237–247.
- National College Athletic Association. 2009. Division I Graduation Success Rate/Division II

  Academic Success Rate. Retrieved September 12, 2020

  <a href="https://www.ncaa.org/wps/ncaa?key=?/ncaa/NCAA/Academics+and+Athletes/Education">https://www.ncaa.org/wps/ncaa?key=?/ncaa/NCAA/Academics+and+Athletes/Education</a>

  +and+research/Academic+Reform/GSR
- Scott, I. D. (2017). Factors Influencing the Academic Performance of African American Student-Athletes in Historically Black Colleges and Universities. *Sport Journal*, 1.

Appendix A

Autho	Title	Source	Purpose	Methods &	Analysis	Eindings	Discussion/
	The 20	Source	Purpose	Procedures	Analysis	Findings Student-	Recommendation
r		Minaina	The	Procedures	Data was		
Ayers	Hour Rule:	Virgina	The	Cubicata	Data was	athletes	S Descend Notes
, Dozmi		Journal	purpose	Subjects	analyzed by	spend	Research Notes –
Pazmi	Student-		of this	completed	examination	between	C
no-	Athletes		study	a survey	of the survey	14-30	Commonalities/
Cevall	Time		was to	where they	results and	hours per	Differences
os,	Commit		monitor	were asked	journal	week on	Some student-
Dobos	ment to		student-	to recall	reporting.	athletics	athletes spend
e	Athletic		athlete	weekly		and 16.75	more than the
	s and		time	time spend		per week	NCAA
	Academ		spent on	on		on	allowance per
	ics		athletic	academic		academics	week on
			activitie	and athletic		during the	athletics, some
			s.	activities.		season.	spend
						During the	considerably
						off season	less.
						they	
						average 5-	34% reported
						8 hours on	exactly 20 hours.
						athletics	Results did vary
						and 14.25	slightly
						hours on	compared to
						academics.	other studies.
							Goals can be
							accomplished if
							student-athlete
							attendance and
							schedule changes
							are monitored,
							additionally
							missing as few
							classes as
							possible.
							possible.

Castle	Factors	Sport	Address	Analysis of	Descriptive	Positive	Authors
s, De	influenc	Journal	student	National	statistics	relationshi	recommend
Vol	ing the		athlete	Survey of	(Mean,	p with	athletic
Scott	Academ		academi	Student	Median,	living	departments
	ic		c	Engagemen	Mode) and a	arrangeme	place guidelines
	Perform		perform	t	two-way chi	nts and	for student-
	ance of		ance at	organizatio	square used	academic	athletes to live on
	African		the	n.	to determine	performan	campus
	America		selected	Measured	relationships	ce. No	throughout
	n		HBCUs	amount of	with	relationshi	college. Future
	Student-		and	time and	academic	p with # of	research should
	athletes		determi	effort	performance.	hours	be done on
	in		ne	students		spent	freshman student
	historica		strategie	put into		getting	athlete responses
	lly		s and	their		ready for	to academic
	Black		program	studies and		class and	performance and
	Colleges		s for	other		performan	amount of time
	s and		improve	educational		ce. Social	preparing for
	Universi		d	activities,		involveme	class, time spent
	ties		student	,measured		nt=more	in co-curricular
			athlete	how the		developed.	activities and
			academi	institution			type of living
			c	facilitated			arrangements too
			perform	its			allow for
			ance.	resources			comparison from
				and			freshman to
				organized			senior year.
				curriculum/			
				other			
				opportuniti			
				es for			
				student			
				learning.			

Burne	Making	Sport	The	379	GPAs were	Female	Academic
tt,	the	Journal	purpose	student-	analyzed on a	student	support systems
Dilley	Grade:	Vol 13,	of this	athlete	4 point scale.	athletes	are a mainstay of
-	Academ	Issue 1.	study	grades	Students who	had higher	division 1
Knole	ic		was to	were	received a B	GPAs than	athletic
s &	Success		determi	obtained	or higher	Male	departments
Peak	in		ne if	from head	were	student	since the 1980s.
	Today's		academi	coaches	considered to	athletes.	More
	Athlete.		c	and	"achieve"	Basketball	considerations
			support	compliance	academically.	, cross-	need to be made
			systems	coordinator		country,	for closing the
			are	s and		golf,	grade gap
			successf	analyzed		tennis,	between sexes.
			ul in	by sport.		track and	Each athletic
			helping			softball	program has its
			student			had the	own time
			athletes			highest	requirements,
			earn			GPAs.	additionally
			grades				support systems
			that are				can interfere with
			above				said
			the GPA				requirements.
			require				Support systems
			ment.				should be
							individually
							focused for each
							program rather
							than utilizing the
							same style for all
							college athletic
							departments.

Arella	Investig	Sport	The	203	Data was	Positive	Meeting daily
no &	ating the	Journal	purpose	Students	analyzed via	relationshi	exercise goals
Dober	Relation		of this	were	descriptive	p between	helps improve
sek	ship		study	interviewed	statistics. A	empathy,	EI. Further
	Between		was to	about their	separate t-test	self-	research is
	Emotion		investig	perceptions	was used to	confidence	needed on the
	al		ate the	on their	examine the	and	effects of
	Intellige		relations	own	differences of	academic	physical
	nce,		hip	emotions/a	EI in student	performan	activity/sport
	Involve		between	cademic	athletes and	ce. Student	participation on
	ment in		student	performanc	non-student	athletes	emotional
	Collegia		athletes	e as well as	athletes.	have	intelligence, or if
	te Sport,		and	comparing		higher	individuals with
	and		whether	that with		GPAs than	higher EI are
	Academ		or not	their peers.		non-	more likely to
	ic		involve			student	participate in
	Perform		ment in			athletes.	sports.
	ance.		collegiat				Additionally for
			e sports				future research of
			moderat				this topic, both
			es the				student athlete
			relations				perspective and
			hip				actual grades
			between				should be
			EI and				considered.
			academi				
			С				
			achieve				
			ment.				

Chen,	An	Kentuck	The	Behavioral	The mean	The	It is imperative to
Maso	Examin	у	purpose	data and	and standard	average	promote and
n,	ation of	Newslet	of this	testing	deviation	student-	maintain a well-
Middl	Behavio	ter for	study is	scores of	were	athlete	balanced athletic
eton	ral Data	Health,	to	186 student	analyzed for	spends 23	and academic life
&	and	Physical	improve	athletes	the following	hours	for student
Salaza	Testing	Educati	the	were	categories:	weekly on	athletes. Athletic
r	Scores	on,	quality	obtained	Class time,	athletics (3	departments
•	as	Recreati	of	from a	Studying,	more than	should have a
	Indicato	on and	prospect	survey	Sleeping,	NCAA	person
	rs of	Dance.	ive	based on	Maintenance	recommen	responsible for
	Student-	Vol 51	student	several	activities,	dation)	monitoring each
	Athletes	Issue 1.	athlete	studies.	Practice/com	Guttony	program's
	,	15540 1.	recruits	These two	petitions,		weekly time log
	Academ		and	things were	Leisure and		to ensure they do
	ic		ensure	identified	Social.		not exceed limits.
	Success.		future	as the best	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Universities
			academi	indicators			should promote
			С	of student			and extend
			success.	athletes			academic and
			The	balancing			counseling
			findings	academics			services to
			would	with			student athletes.
			help	athletics.			Coaches and
			athletic				advisors should
			administ				help their student
			rators				athletes develop
			develop				daily study, time
			practical				management and
			strategie				organizational
			s for				skills.
			advising				Additionally they
			student				should be
			athletes				educated about
			as well				the reality of life
			as create				and
			a 15				responsibilities
			minute				of being a
			docume				student-athlete at
			ntary to				open houses and
		<u>I</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		l .	1

	educate		throughout the
	future		year.
	prospect		
	ive		
	student		
	athletes.		

Hazel	NCAA	Internati	The	The	A coaches	The	Athletic
baker	Academ	onal	purpose	compliance	emphasis on	academic	departments need
	ic Non-	Journal	of this	director of	graduation/ac	reform the	a larger budget
	Qualifie	of Sport	study	each	ademics ia a	NCAA has	for support
	rs:	Manage	was to	respective	key factor in	been	systems.
	Factors	ment,	examine	university	improving	implicatin	Additionally
	Effectin	Recreati	initial	filled out	rates.	g has a	college athletics
	g	on and	academi	an		positive	need to be
	Graduati	Tourism	c non-	electronic		relationshi	incorporated into
	on Rates		qualifier	survey. The		p with	higher education
			s, low	survey		graduation	more in order to
			graduati	consisted		•	promote the
			on rate	of			statement
			and	questions			"educational
			variable	relating to			experience is
			s that	demograph			paramount.
			increase	ics on			Greater attention
			this rate	academic			should be paid to
			in	policies			"junior college"
			Division	and			graduates as well
			1	institutiona			as "at risk"
			Basketb	1 programs			students to
			all	that may			ensure student
			student	have an			athlete retention.
			athletes.	impact on			The results have
				graduation			importance to
				rates.			NCAA policy
							makers, athletic
							administrators
							and coaches to
							adapt their
							programs to
							identified needs.

Hazza	Anteced	Journal	The	226	Data of the	Student-	Previous research
a,	ents and	of	purpose	student-	surveys was	athletes	suggested
Sonke	Consequ		of this	athletes	analyzed	reported	adequate
ng &	ences of	egiate	study	completed	though	high	physical, human,
Yoh	Student-	Sport	was to	a 22	ANOVA,	satisfactio	and financial
	Athletes		determi	question	analysts of	n with	resources,
	Content		ne	survey	variance.	support	coupled with
	ment		factors	relating to		services.	institutional
	With		that	facilities,		Facilities	spending, may
	Academ		influenc	staff,		and staff	lead to greater
	ic		e	tutoring		were	academic
	Services		student-	and		found to	benefits for the
			athletes	advising		be	institution. Those
			satisfact	programs.		important	benefits may
			ion with			determina	include enhanced
			academi			nts of	student outcomes
			c			advising	such as student
			support			satisfactio	learning and
			services			n, which	degree
						positively	completion.
						influenced	
						academic	Additional
						performan	support has
						ce.	demonstrated
							that investing in
							relevant and
							sufficient
							resources for
							student-athletes
							may increase the
							likelihood of
							persisting
							through to degree
							completion
	l .		l .				

Insler,	Do	Journal	The	Grades	Data was	Recruited	Future research
Kara	Sports	of Sport	purpose	were	analyzed	student	should be done
m	Crowd	Econom	of this	obtained	through	athletes	on the variances
	Out	ics. Vol	study	from the	descriptive	have a .37	between different
	Books?	20,	was to	USNA	statistics such	higher	sports.
	The	Issue 1.	investig	Office of	as mean and	GPA than	Additionally
	Impact		ate the	Institutiona	standard	non-	more research
	of		influenc	1 Research.	deviation.	recruited.	should be done
	Intercoll		e of	Grades of		A higher	on the variance
	egiate		intercoll	each		GPA	in student-athlete
	Athletic		egiate	student-		equivocate	performance in
	Particip		athletics	athlete		d to higher	season vs out of
	ation		on	were		post grad	season. For the
	and		grades	observed		success.	average student
	Grades.		of	from both			there are not
			students	before they			complementaritie
			at the	began			s, but trade offs
			US	attending			between
			Naval	the			academic
			Academ	University			achievement and
			у.	and their			athletic
				current			participation.
				grades.			The US Naval
							academy student
							athlete has a very
							different college
							experience due to
							athletic
							participation
							being required.

			_				
Brutla	DI	NCAA.	The	Referencin	Referencing	9/10	The federal
g	college	org	purpose	g of old	of old reports	Division I	graduation rate,
Hosic	athletes		of this	reports	form the	stundet-	however,
k	reach		article	form the	GSR as well	athletes	remains the only
	90%		was to	GSR as	as the GSR of	graduated	measure to
	graduati		examine	well as the	2019.	in the past	compare student-
	on rate.		the	GSR of		year. An	athletes with the
			graduati	2019.		additional	general student
			on			33,505	body. Using this
			success			have	measure, student-
			rate			graduated	athletes graduate
			from the			since	at the same rate
			past			support	as the student
			year.			system	body.
						improvem	
						ents have	Federal rates also
						been made	provide a long-
						in 2002.	term picture of
							student-athlete
							academic
							achievement.
							The federal rate
							was first
							collected with
							the class that
							entered college
							in 1984, and the
							rate has
							continued to rise
							over the past 28
							years. When
							rates were first
							collected, the
							general student
							body earned
							degrees at a rate
							higher than that
							of student-
							athletes.

NCA	Countab	NCAA.	N/A it is	N/A	N/A	N/A	Provided
Α	le	org	a				information
	Athletic		handboo				about student
	ally		k that is				athlete time
	Related		strictly				requirements and
	Activite		for				limits as well as
	S		informat				accessions to the
			ion				rules.
			about				
			NCAA				
			student				
			athlete				
			rules				
			and				
			regulati				
			ons				
Brutla	Division	NCAA.	There	Referencin	Referencing	In 2002	The NCAA is
g	1	org	was no	g of old	of old reports	the goal	now focusing on
Hosic	Student-		study	reports	form the	was to	evaluating it
k	athletes		done,	form the	GSR and	have an	Academic
	graduate		just an	GSR as	comparing	80%	Performance
	at		evaluati	well as the	them with	graduation	program, from
	record		on of	GSR of	current	rate for	there they plan
	high		the	2019.	results.	Division 1,	on improving
	rates		Graduati			in 2019 it.	support systems
			on			Was 89%.	to help guide
			Success			Nearly an	student-athletes
			Rate			additional	to graduation.
			(GSR)			30,000	Schools can also
			that was			student	now earn
			created			athletes	"academic
			in 2002			have	achievement
			to			graduated	units" each year
			increase			from the	if they meet
			student-			changes	certain
			athlete			made by	qualifications
			graduati			the NCAA	such as 90%
			on rates.			to	graduation rate,
							academic

						academic policies.	progress rate of 985 or better and be 13% points higher than general student body graduation rate.
Kaier,	Address	Journal	The	152	Data was	Knowledg	Participants
Zanott i,	ing the Problem	of Clinical	purpose of this	Division I student-	collected and	e of sleep	found the study informative and
	of			athletes	entered as an independent	increased from	
Davis, Strun		Sport	study		variable with	39.8% to	motivating. There was a
k &	Student- Athlete	Psychol	was to investig	completed		82.5%.	retention of sleep
	Sleepine	ogy.	ate the	a questionnai	3 time points: baseline.	52.5%. 51%	knowledge
er	ss:		feasibilit	re on	follow up 1	reported at	identified from
Ci	Feasibili		y and	sleeping	and follow up	least one	the initial
	ty of		effective	habits/kno	2.	new sleep	baseline time
	Implem		ness of	wledge.		behavior.	point to the first
	enting		brief	Subjects		Athletes	follow up. No
	an		sleep	attended a		reported	changes were
	Interacti		worksho	workshop		less	seen in overall
	ve Sleep		ps for	then a		daytime	sleep quality.
	Worksh		student-	follow up		sleepiness	
	op at a		athletes.	two weeks		and	Future studies
	Division			later. A		increased	should use non-
				third		daytime	biased subjects

I		follow up	functionin	with a more
School.		was done at	g.	fitting time
		three or		schedule, some
		four		subjects were
		months,		lost before the
		depending		3rd follow up
		on		due to scheduling
		availability		conflict.