

Cancel Culture
Peter Abdou
Senior Capstone
Professor Horowitz
Fall 2022

Chapter 1: Introduction

Cancel culture has been one of the most important in progressivist feature of a very activist society. Cancel culture not only focuses on this idea of the impact on how individuals understand the responsibilities of the actions that they take but also witnesses for them to gradually focus those responsibilities on virtue signaling, the fear of social repercussion, and more importantly how to become cognitive of the relationships that are founded upon these systems. In today's contemporary environment, understanding much of the literature related to cancel culture is built around this philosophy of becoming functional with both how cancel culture has been established and the potential outliers that may be there. Literature has also become very relaxed in terms of trying to impose a well-meaning approach to realizing what is a valuable construct between acceptable behavior and behavior that compromises a person's ability to think about their ideas of acceptable behavior. It is through this concentration that there are values that become much more affluent in terms of affecting how culture is developed in the premise upon which cancel culture has grown out from. Often times, the hypersensitivity of individuals as well as the belief that what they say and do as a reflection of what they believe to be true is a process that brings people together while at the same time pushes them apart.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

The value of this particular article is based on understanding complexities that are associated with how cancel culture began to be established in today's contemporary and competitive environment. Ultimately, there are a variety of different complex systems that continue to become part of the relationship between how successful individuals can be and the opportunities that allow them to be able to expose their views in public even though they may

not be socially acceptable (Deuze., 2014). In addition, there is a functional theme that should be relevant to understanding the processes upon which a person can be successful and at the same time look at the cooperation between identity, arrangement, and the values that the systems can have. Overall, there is a certain level of relationship between these Practices and this article looked at the relationship between qualitative and functional outliers.

The relationship as well as the ideas provided in this article are based around analyzing and understanding the opportunities for individuals to look at cancel culture as being a definitive practice that does not have the same level of connected value. Ultimately, cancel culture has impacted as outlined within the article the basic relationship between foundational development, and qualitative ones as well (Gorman., 2017). These are systems that continue to become increasingly part of the social and functional relationship between how individuals can express their viewpoints and the direct impact they can have on the ability to feel safe in their own space. Oftentimes, this can be very difficult for individuals to realize and at the same time it can impact the very nature of both qualitative and functional relationships. Unfortunately, what is observable is a lack of defining attributes between the processes of how a person can realize this type of difference and the safe space that they can express their views.

This article is an assessment based around the analysis of the influences regarding social institutions and cancel culture. Cancel culture is an important dichotomy between how individuals understand the impact, and the particular reputations that the systems can have. Usually, when thinking about cancel culture, there is a vast array in terms of interpretation, qualitative development, and the recognition of these assistance of inclusion and practicality (Jandl., 2017). It is through this type of opportunity that there are qualitative principles that can impact how cancel culture is maintained and the particular developments that they can have on

people and institutions of the free speech. Regardless of where or how a person may observe these applications. There is a fundamental change in shift between how cancel culture is appropriated, and the recognition of those areas of progressive value and institutional redesign.

The values of this particular article are based around an analysis and understanding of how social influences can impact both cancel culture, the representation of cancel culture as a dichotomy between people, and the processes that It can have an impact in social influence (Kaufman., 2021). Overall, there are many institutional and qualitative impacts that can be observable with reference to how cancel culture has created a strong disparity between the ability to speak one's viewpoint and not feel a tremendous amount of pressure or oppression to be connected to qualitative social pressures. It is through this institutional outcome that individuals can become much more cognitive in terms of the relationship and at the same time realize that there is a strong difference between Social pressures and social expectations. This article has a relatively unique and important way of being able to develop the difference between the two and at the same time understand that there are relationships that can also be prevalent alongside them as well.

What is interesting about this article is a qualitative review of the direct impact that ideas of the concept of cancel culture have had on people in the international community. This is a rather unique element and concept because it showcases that there is a foundational difference between how successful individuals have been and more importantly what it means for there to be a process upon which that success can be a relationship between social pressures (Ingling et al., 2019). Ideally, it is the responsibility of individuals to be able to think about the processes that could be observable within the social sphere. Even though this may be the reality for many

others, the prevailing concept is to always think about what it means to isolate and define the systems that impact people.

This particular article looks at the social impact of cancel culture in the particular opportunities and definitions they can have on what it means to isolate people. Overall, there are a variety of different controls that can be observable between how the systems operate and what it means for social inclusion and developmental responsibility to be part of this equation. Overall, the best way that a person can define these attributes is by realizing that there are extensions between the impact of Cancel culture and the opportunities for individuals to feel that they can express themselves without the influence of people and others (Jacques-Alain., 2013). This is the type of persuasion that can be observable within how cancel culture has been promoted and at the same time the reference point for people and inclusion of the steps to be attributed to it. When applying the systems, the best concepts for a person to be able to realize are by observing the contradictions between cancel culture and the institutions of freedom of speech.

One of the interesting aspects of this particular article is that it focuses on creating a unique relationship between social pressures in terms of cancel culture and the foundations that bring cancel culture its unique power. Obviously, there are a vast variety of different complex themes that impact how cancel culture is developed especially within the social arena and relationship. These are elements that are powerful in terms of realizing the differences between how successful cancel culture has been in today's relationship and the processes that have created a functional outlier between these media conglomerates as well (Miller., 2016). This article looked at the influences of media in terms of promoting cancel Culture. What is even more interesting about this article is that it focuses on the tremendous appreciation in terms of

large organizations bending to the worlds of individuals and at the same time showcasing that there is a dramatic rise in both how successful these elements have been along with the social influencers that impact the growth of this type of cancel culture mentality (Murray., 2018). These are elements that are much more influential and at the same time become part of understanding there is a dramatic change between how cancel culture is promoted and the influencers that drives a sense of cultural submissiveness to it. Understanding of this premise area can become an important opportunity to drive forward the correlation between these principles and at the same time realize the direct impact that I can have on people. Regardless of where or how the system operates, there is a trend that has to be observed.

One of the interesting aspects that should be realized with reference to this article is that it showcases a correlation between the social influencers of people and how individuals may have differing viewpoints on the institutions that bring a sense of directional pride. What is meant by this is that social media companies have been a high promoter in terms of Cognitive displacement especially amongst younger people. There is a sense of canceling individuals even though what they observe or understand is fundamentally indifferent in comparison to others (Shullenberger., 2020). These are elements that are directly and foundational to how individuals can express themselves. Expression is of course a fundamental drive moving forward into the future and it is part of the very nature that individuals can partake in. Therefore, there is a Reference point to understand the observational impact, in the relationships that they can have on people and institutional drives. One of the interesting aspects that can be observed is that the competencies for individuals to make their own decisions is oftentimes correlated between structural and cognitive disparities.

Chapter 3: Methods

Introduction: The focus of the research as well as ideas being presented are based around secondary as well as qualitative research items.

Selection criteria: The selection criteria for what has been presented and developed is an analysis of at least 10 research articles as well as a comprehensive approach to understand the different ideas and values systems that are part of this comprehensive process. These are systems that will help elevate what is being presented and offered to the research audience.

Search items: The search items are based around specific keyword searches including cancellation culture, freedom of speech, First Amendment, and silence throughout media components.

Data collection and analysis methodology: The data analysis and collection methodology is based on the comprehensive and functional relationship that's around the 10 articles and the connection that they have to the research components. These are important comprehensive elements that provide a well-rounded understanding of auditing offered and more importantly part of being able to create a process above qualitative and comprehensive analytical viewpoints.

Study quality and bias: the focus on removing study quality advice is baseline understanding what type of bias may actually be available. This is a very comprehensive fundamental work to take into consideration. It is important to be able to evaluate the study construct and create a more comprehensive focus on what is being offered to the audience members and research

component. Biases exist in many studies, however, it's important to become qualitative in terms of researching what is applicable and defiant.

Chapter 4: Findings

Cancel culture has had a dramatic impact in terms of how individuals understand and observe the ideas of accountability in today's contemporary environment. The fact of the matter is that accountability continues to be an important construct with reference to how individuals observe what is their value, expectation, and the possibility of exchanging these as systems of development. Ideally, individuals have a wide variety of becoming aware of what the systems can do. However, the most important aspect is to be aware of how these have become part of the evolution upon which individuals can be successful. The values as well as the expectation of cancelling culture can be based around particular areas of focus. The first one is how to understand what is the importance, the values, as well as the appropriation of individuals as they continue to become connected to culture as a more defined opportunity of change and process improvement. The fact of the matter is that cancel culture has created a rather unique and qualitative approach to many of the systems and ideas that are provided.

However, what is even more important is based on the idea of marginalized communities that have impacted and continuously are impacted by counter approaches to marginalized discussion points. The fact of the matter is that many of these ideas can also become part of the development opportunities with reference to what individuals can develop, grow, and become aware of. Ultimately, there are a variety of different factors that impact this type of approach but having a well-rounded understanding of the concepts of what is required and what is provided can also become an important dichotomy. Overall, these are important aspects for being able to challenge the ideals of culture and at the same time realize that there are a variety of different

push and pull factors that can be influenced by them. The first of the system is based on this idea of how individuals believe the elements of the developmental injustice that they may be a part of. Of course, marginalized communities have been connected to a variety of different elements that impact how they observe their responsibility in the ideas that are necessary for them to be able to grow. Cancel culture has become a rather important benefit to them moving forward into the future because it causes them to think about what is recognizable, evolutionary, and part of the basic nature that they have to contend with.

One of the most important findings that can be found out with researching in defining cancel culture is the concepts of accountability. Accountability becomes an important concentration especially when trying to understand what cancels culture 's ultimate goal. The ultimate goal of this particular focus and narrative is based on challenging how individuals observe the nature upon which they grow, and the development that they have especially within qualitative and functional representation. At the end of the day, there are a variety of different factors that have to be taken into consideration but the most important one is based on how to challenge these notions and understand that there are factors that impact the development in a way that is much more functional and qualitatively developed. These are aspects that are part of being able to challenge what it means for individuals to grow and at the same time how to be able to think about the natural implications of the world that these individuals live within.

Ideally, these are systems that are not only important for being able to challenge these notions but also realize that there is a comprehensive and functional representation that should also be taken into consideration. These are aspects that also promote a certain level of qualitative understanding, what preference to what an individual may feel, what they may understand, and what is the nature of the development moving forward into the future. These are concepts that

help create a narrative that is not only important moving forward into the future but also creates a balance for one of the basic expectations that individuals have to contend with. At the end of the day, what is required for individuals to be able to isolate the systems and to think about them in a way that actually creates a more quality approach is through basic functionality, ideological drive, and of course expectations for truth. These are elements that help promote a well-rounded ideological difference even in the face of great developmental and social expectations.

One of the important elements for being able to drive for a narrative of success is to understand that the basis and expectation for driving forward in these narratives is how to think about them in a way that actually challenges what is expected from disenfranchised communities. Unfortunately, disenfranchised communities in the United States have had a very serious issue with reference to how they are perceived and what is the expectation of that perception moving forward into the future. These are Important elements moving forward into the future and they are part of being able to define and create a designation for what is required for individuals to be able to isolate these different types of changes. Ideally, individuals should be able to contend with these expectations but yet at the same time they can create a rather difficult and dysfunctional way of looking at the world. Cancel culture has created a system upon which individuals who come from these community groups can connect, find value, and isolate the basis for how they are able to grow.

Ideally, these systems are not only important to be able to drive forward this type of expectation but also understand what is the basis of growing and defining these types of systems. The main approach and application to be able to challenge how individuals observe and define these opportunities is by realizing there is a challenge to them from the very beginning. Overall, this can be a rather natural expectation especially for individuals who may have a very different

point of view. At the same time, culture has created a system upon which individuals can begin to think about what they believe and also challenge the different belief systems that others may have in their perspective. Ideally, there are certain institutional and qualitative approaches that are applicable especially with how individuals are able to communicate this level of difference and become aware of the subjectivity that the difference can make on their own environmental structure.

The concepts and ideological differences that could be found and born out of the systems help implicate an attitude and social arena upon which the actions that individuals take are dynamic. They can yield a better qualitative difference in the social realities that they may occupy. These are more functional relationships that are required for individuals to be able to think about what they believe and at the same time realize the difference that those belief systems can have on what is true and authentic to them. These are applications that are part of becoming aware of how disenfranchised communities can benefit from applications and ideas that are both qualitative, functional, and at the same time can challenge the truthfulness of some of these systems. This level of responsibility and the ideological difference that comes with it are both a narrative for care and a system that is influential in every way possible.

Cancel culture can also be connected to this idea of boycotting which is an important and cherished American idea. Boycotts an important opportunity to be able to identify the different practices, ideas, and the values that are necessary to challenge how individuals observe what is truthful in their own community group. These are opportunities that are also part of being able to identify what is required for individuals to challenge their own natural implication of both the ideas that come with them and the concepts that are connected to them. What a person may believe in the concepts that are internal to that belief system are much more direct. It is through

this type of approach that individuals can begin to think about how their protest is one of value and connection.

While culture is strongly connected to a variety of different factors of social development, the most important one is to be able to allow individuals to vote within their own viewership and at the same time realize that there are concepts and ideas that are directed to what they believe and what they understand. It is through this ideological difference that individuals are more likely to be successful and at the same time begin to think closely about what they believe, why they understand that belief system to be true, and how to be able to contend with it in a way that actually yields better results and functionality. Even though there are of course a variety of different factors that may impact how they understand that belief system to come into fruition, the most important element is how to be able to think about it in a way that actually has positive benefit and value for different community groups. Overall, it is with this type of perspective that individuals have been able to think about their own perception and to understand it in a way that actually has much more positive value in comparison to other systems that may come into existence.

The fact of the matter is that how a person is able to realize their own perception and what that perception is able to offer them is much more concentrated upon their own values and functionality. These are elements that have always created a more dynamic appeal to both the direction of what a person believes in and how that belief system can become a practice of reality. Although, there are of course institutional qualities that can become part of what boycotting can mean for different community groups, there is a qualitative approach that should also be recognized. The fact of the matter is that how a person perceives their own value system and what that value system can mean to them is part of a connection that is much more vital and

driven. These are extensions that have become part of how successful different individual communities have been and cancel culture can be used as a tool to either appreciate the connection or become part of a detriment to the social values that may be there. It is through this concept that individuals have historically been much more directive in terms of learning and expressing what they believe to be true. The extension of the truth and the ability to become connected to it is part of a value that is both internal and an acquisition of knowledge. This type of qualitative understanding can also become part of how successful a person can be in terms of looking at canceled culture as being counterintuitive to social belief systems.

The ideological differences in terms of what cancellation culture has been able to offer to society has also been detrimental. The fact of the matter is that there can be an observed stifling of free expression and free development. This means that a person's opportunity to be able to say something that is not socially popular or pressured by radical activism can be a detriment to how individuals observe what is truthful and what is right. The fact of the matter is that how a person understands this reality and what is an extension of that reality can also become a detriment to their own social development. These are concepts that are important for a person to be able to understand and at the same time realize that there is a connection to that style. This type of connection is also part of what it means for a person to be able to connect with Their own sense of truth. There are a variety of different factors that can impact how this type of success is developed but the most important one is how to be able to think about it in a way that actually has better direction and appropriation.

While cancellation culture has been beneficial to some groups, it has created a rather unique and interesting barrier for certain communities. One of the most interesting one is the idea of compromising democratic appeal and consideration. These are very important elements to be

able to contend with especially in a world that may have different viewpoints or expectations. The fact of the matter is that there are certain extensions that individuals have to be able to understand and recognize moving forward into the future. These are opportunities that are part of being able to challenge what is necessary for a person to be able to do moving forward and what is the uniqueness that expectation can have on their own life. The fact of the matter is that there are certain elements that can be compromised in terms of what is offered by community groups and yet can become a rather disparaging and qualitative narrative of care. There are certain approaches that have historically impacted what is observable in terms of community groups and yet have become much more indirect in terms of what is offered by those groups to begin with. These are expectations that are of course impactful in terms of how successful a person can be.

The most important way that a person is able to define these expectations is by realizing that the actions that they take have different repercussions and value systems. These are institutional and qualitative approaches that are part of being able to challenge what is necessary for success and at the same time have a more Direct impact on learning philosophy. These aspects are much more institutional to what it means for a person to be able to identify their own sense of growth yet have to become part of what it means to actually challenge this notion. Learning by nature of this practice and development is a rather unique element of change. It does require individuals to think about what they believe and how that belief system can be extended by their own different persuasions.

Chapter 5: Conclusions

The ideas as well as the concepts being offered within the research shows a rather unique and intuitive design of reference. The fact of the matter is that there are a variety of different concentrations that a person has to be able to contend with but the most important one is based around what is truthfully part of the narrative that is available for individuals. These are concepts and elements that help provide a simplistic and oftentimes detrimental approach to learning. It does also offer an opportunity for individuals to be able to think about what is a truthful imperative in terms of learning, function, and creative approaches to care. While there are certainly intrinsic systems that have to be taken into consideration, the most important one is how to be able to contend with the loss that these systems can also offer. There are practices that are necessary for others to be able to realize both a dramatic change and a unique opportunity to learn as a way of changing this type of dynamic. Learning is much more than a one-size-fits-all approach. It's about becoming aware of the recognized differences that the systems can yield and how to become aware of the subjectivity that they can offer to those who are interested in them to begin with.

While the future of cancel culture is certainly unknown, the subjectivity and the reality that they can offer to others is relatively clear. It shows that there is a dichotomy of subjective and qualitative dysfunction that is observable within the community group. It also recognizes that there are certain applications that are oftentimes different in terms of how successful individuals can be and what is the value that that success can have on learning.

References

- Deuze, M. (2014). Living as a Zombie in Media is the Only Way to Survive. *Journal of the Fantastic in the Arts*, 25(2/3 (91)), 278–294. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24353029>
- Gorman Beauchamp. (2017). Open Casket and Cultural Appropriation. *The Antioch Review*, 75(4), 455–465. <https://doi.org/10.7723/antiochreview.75.4.0455>
- Gray, C. S. (1999). Strategic Culture as Context: The First Generation of Theory Strikes Back. *Review of International Studies*, 25(1), 49–69. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097575>
- Ingling, C. R., Russell, P. W., Rea, M. S., & Brian H.-P. Tsou. (1978). Red - Green Opponent Spectral Sensitivity: Disparity Between Cancellation and Direct Matching Methods. *Science*, 201(4362), 1221–1223. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1746354>
- Jacques-Alain Miller. (2013). Everyone Is Mad. *Culture/Clinic*, 1, 17–42. <https://doi.org/10.5749/cultclin.1.2013.0017>
- Jandl, S. (2017). The Intermediality of Emotion: Representations of Emotionality and Fear in YouTube Vlogs and Beyond. In I. Jandl, S. Knaller, S. Schönfellner, & G. Tockner (Eds.), *Writing Emotions: Theoretical Concepts and Selected Case Studies in Literature* (pp. 175–194). Transcript Verlag. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv1wxt3t.12>
- KAUFMAN, D. (2021). HIGHLIGHT: CORE DYNAMIC, IDENTITY POLITICS. In *TREND DETECTOR NO. 10: BIDEN'S FIRST MONTH: TRACKING DEVELOPMENTS IN THE "PROGRESSIVE FIELD"* (pp. 7–9). Reut Institute. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep29684.6>
- Miller, D. (2016). Welcome to The Glades. In *Social Media in an English Village* (1st ed., Vol. 2, pp. 1–18). UCL Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1g69xs1.5>

Murray, A. H. (2018). GENERATION SNOWFLAKE? *RSA Journal*, 164(4 (5576)), 44–47.

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/26798439>

Shullenberger, G. (2020). The New Net Delusion. *The New Atlantis*, 62, 46–52.

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/26934425>