

Ronald Jean-Charles

Senior Project II: SPJ 4991

Senior Project I: SPJ 4990

Fall Semester 2020: Volume I

The political psychological domination of minority groups in diverse societies of plurality in Western, Eeastern World including Africa.

The Political psychological domination of minority groups in diverse societies of plurality in Western, Eastern World including Africa. Throughout Western Civilizations, there have been specific forms of manipulations used by politicians to mind control of all classes in societies, and the less fortunate class whom we consider as the lower class. They tend to be worse victims of all actions at time and place of occurrence. I began by writing my work with the political psychological of manipulations introduction, the research paper itself, and then I added ten poems that relates to such political psychological manipulation of domination of people. The poems are strictly from my own personal creations or from my own personal creativities. Then I illustrated on the political psychology that colonizers and politicians have used to control people. And then, I provided concrete information that relates to such political psychological of domination of ordinary people or ordinary folks. We are convinced that colonization has had negative impacts on the colonized being but how so? We are convinced that political psychological manipulations of domination of the colonized being has had lifetime damages on the colonized thoughts process, education, culture, and the

overall, the ways of living life. I began with two questions: Although, the colonizers and the politicians are well aware that their forms of political psychological manipulations and domination is wrong and has done lifetime damages to the receivers: Why they continue with such tactics, evilness, and complex strategies of colonization of political manipulations, social manipulations, psychological manipulations, cultural violence of manipulations in accord with fear tactics of intimidations of the weak minorities? Single politicians, political parties, government entities in all forms have been involved in such patterns whether through physical violence of slavery or other forms to keep minorities powerless, poor, down, and out of the formal process. We have seen example of apartheid in South Africa, the British in Nigeria who have left voids for violence in the country, the British in Cyprus, the United States and the European Union involvement in Ukraine, et cetera. Psychologically, they have used such tactics of control for acquisition of power and to keep power. Why are the people never truly understand the types of political psychological of manipulations of domination that they have submitted to or do they understand and choose not to do anything or do they understand but unable to do anything about it. Our democracy became a demo of repetition to fulfill civic duties in all practicalities, but unable to bring well needed changes or to make people's lives better. If that is the case, then we can all ask ourselves, should we preserve such democratic system that is unable to fulfilling our purpose or needs or needs? I do see that democracy helped enhance such patterns of evil business dealings with minorities, it allows the majority or more powerful to control, dominate minorities, especially in Western societies.

I came with this idea one long night after it was very difficult for me to go to sleep. My head could not rest until I have cleared it out by typing those ideas down on my 5-year-old laptop. As for

someone who is majoring in Political Science; I became interested in finding ways to help myself and others who need to better understand those who try to control our minds. I came to realize that if that is the case that there must be other ways to better understand those who try to control us and our minds then there must be ways to free ourselves from that bigger force of power. I just wanted to make things as neat, as clear, and as creative as possible. Although, all of my project contains huge or large percentage of metaphors, and especially, true in my poems. I can say for sure all of my poems are straight-forward and so as my entire project, and I tend to get straight to the point and some of my points are quite deep to understand for the reader or the listener. Still to this day, the relationship between the colonizer and the colonized being when it comes to Politics; it is a one-way street, or one-sided relationship where the colonizers use the colonized being as a tool to enrich or empower themselves. This contemporary types of relationships between the political colonizers and the colonized being is a lot harder to detect because it has been evolved from being less physical brutality, it is a lot more of a psychological form of suppression.

There are many books out here explained the aesthetic experiences of political colonization but there are not many books about Political psychology to help understand and to help finding ways to free one-self from Political effects of colonization. Although, many nations and peoples around the World have free themselves from colonists' countries, but many of those countries and peoples have been struggled to move on because the psychological healing has not been done. Most researchers, historians, so on so forth focus on the generalization of Political domination, but if we dig deeper on specific impacts that colonization has on countries, peoples, cultures then we can say that it is a lot more complicated than being a black and white issue. We will determine which of each and every one of those subjects have been impacted differently; it has a lot to do with varieties survival adaptations. Although, focus a study on groups is important to come up with a

good prediction, but focus a study on each individual being would provide a more accurate hypothesis. Such studies can take forever to be accomplished but scientifically speaking we cannot just predict a result without a real test. To really understand and maybe to bring in or find a method that could bring a solution to the aftermath of colonization; we must understand the negative impacts it has on local peoples, tribes, and cultures. For example, it is extremely important not to just assume that Political colonization in South Africa has exactly the same impact as Political colonization in the United States. I am sure there were many similarities but there are also huge differences due to time, people, culture, location, so on so forth.

At least, my poems provided another lens to the picture; they have been written in a way for everyone to understand. The poems illustrate my side of understanding the issues tiny bit and my research provided great details from credible sources, and I have written my poems in a way for everyone to understand or comprehend. The abuses against the colonized being has been somehow progressed from being less physical to a more Political psychological dominance in every aspects of society. The physical abuses against the colonized being has been measured to the simple fact; is the way to show that there are some improvements in terms of treatment of so-called (citizens). The measured physical and political psychological abuses against the colonized being in modern day, is a form in a sense a way to parade a facade that things are not the way they used to be. Of course, the colonized being in some cases fall for the freedom myth because he or she has been shown some recognitions by invitations to social gatherings and others. The colonizers always make sure that they are in charge of most institutions just to keep control and especially, control of education which is most important or the most significant one to shape an individual or to some extent a country. By keeping control of education; therefore, the colonized being is being psychologically programmed inside the matrix, and unless they step out of the matrix; they can

never be surpassing or on equal footing with the colonizers. The mind-control has always been Political and that will never change; at least not in my lifetime or this actual day and age.

To understand it, one must see it from Political perspective. The project and the poems touched on a few key areas that are certainly considered controversial but people must be willing to address issues that are needed to be resolved or even if they are uneasy topics to discussed or touched on or to communicate about. When it comes to Universal emancipation is that all of humanity need to allow each other the space to fulfil their full potential. No one or subject should feel like an object that belongs to someone else. Whenever a being feels any restrain to his or her freedom in any shape of form or that being is either being objectify, or being exploited in a manner that he has no real control over his freewill of mindset.

Human personality should be influence by their self-consciousness, it is not up to a second person to influence that substance or factor in another human being. After all, this is what differentiates us humans from animals or other living creatures; we have personalities that are a lot more advance than that found in animals or other living creatures. Our individual personalities are what makes us all unique or special in our own ways. When we being controlled by other forces or power; we are no longer being able to be ourselves fully, or being different from animals or other living creatures because our personalities cannot be fully developed in its unique fashion or independently. At least to this point, we are in position to recognize that the Political psychological of the colonized being has no real power in countries dominated by their colonizers. Although, some of them attended Universities in large numbers; they joined important World organizations, but if we look deeper into the issues; we can see all of those institutions were put in place by European colonizers just to keep or to maintain their power in all sorts of forms. It is obviously visible in education, Politics, economics organizations, religious institutions, et cetera.

So far, I am getting somewhere with my project on my political psychological domination of manipulation of ordinary people research paper where I started going through the journals to connect them to my topic. One journal in Particular title cover is "recognition without empowerment: minorities in a democratic South Africa." After the white minority of South Africa handed over the governmental power to the majority of South African majority which has been suffered from extreme discriminatory laws and practices under of apartheid South Africa for many decades. The majority in South Africa which has been the Afrikaans community were handed power, and they took over the power from the white South African minority right after breakup of apartheid. After the breakup of apartheid, it brought tremendous hope to young South Africans, and many of them could begin to planning their future with great freedom without worrying about apartheid racist policies in the country. In South Africa, there are four large communities which are the Afrikaans, the Colored, the Indians, and white minority. While the white South Africans were in control of South Africa, all other communities were stigmatized, discriminated against to the full extent of evilness. According to all South Africans, something must have been done in order to confront the sickness of hatred in the country. Nelson Mandela as one of the original leaders of the movement against racist apartheid in the country spent twenty-seven (27) years in prison had been released, and brought back to help youths of Africa regained back their dignity, confidence, respect, and prestige.

Move forward to journal title cover is "Managing multiple minority problems in a divided society: the Nigerian experience" by Eghosa E. Osaghae points out multiple ethnic's groups in Nigeria have suffered from deprivations, and in some instances, some of those minorities have been forgotten by the ruling class in Nigeria. In that case, many ethnic minority groups in Nigeria claimed their rights or their approach of civil disobedience in very different ways or they have been approaching

politics in ununified ways, and according to Eghosa that alone put them in weak positions as a collective. A society with diverse ethnics, racial or multiple cultural identities is never an easy society to rule and Nigeria is a perfect example of such scenario. Not only it is difficult to manage a diverse society, and when it is divided in forms of plurality, is a hundred (100) times harder to manage. Nigeria is an extremely of a diverse country with hundreds (100s) of tribes who speak different languages, with different customs, different cultural principles, with different life practices, and their approach to politics is as complex as anyone can imagine or not even imagine in the real world. The population of Nigeria is large, the land is vast, most Indigenous tribes live in remote areas far from main cities, they all speak different languages, rich in natural resources, one of the most complex countries to govern.

"When the majority becomes the minority" this statement in itself can trigger hate, and to some extent, it can affect politicians' approach style to the public. In some North American countries and Europe, the birth rates have gone down tremendously, and the same time, there are huge increase in immigration, that is one of the main reasons why politics is shifting in terms of positions. There is a big talking in American society so as politics that the white population of America will become minority by 2042, and many worried, and in some instances, many people might be content or optimist, and many people might be happy that changed will happen in our society. California is assumed or projected to be leading this political change of demographics, California will be one of the major hotspots, or one of the major states that change is occurring at a fast pace. As the number of white American diminished, they tend to lean more toward republicanism and conservatism. In California, and many other major big states where white Americans regardless of party affiliations always in favor of more conservative policies to antagonize foreigners or immigrants. That shift that is occurring in California also truly happening

in most other major states in the country and California is one example of a large picture.

Moving to the fifth journal, "Political manipulations and market reforms failures," gave us a sense how politicians can take advantage of weak economies and purposely sleep on market reforms just so to boost their power or to work it out in their advantage. The journal further stretched that failures of market reforms, and especially, in classified poorer countries would be a strategy to enhance economic growth; instead, politicians use such strategy to boost their political power. In general practices, it is in a sense an old fashion practice and which is in many occasions, it has a lot to do with political power and definitely governmental corruptions. That practice is in itself put majority in government in position of control of minorities, in most instances, their political actions in a society can determine the due or die of a minority in the country. Politicians in large scales ignored signs of economic reforms in favor of schemes, and in overall, they turned a blind eye in favor of corruptions. Karl Marx has described democracy as a demo that constantly replays itself or copy itself automatically. In other words, the system of democracy is a dictatorship within itself because you have laws that have been issued for decades and centuries, and yet, we still have to abide by those sets of regulatory of laws, and that itself, makes democracy a demo of dictatorship. Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels stated in the communist manifesto that "the first step in the revolution by the working class, is to raise the proletariat to the position of ruling class, to win battle for democracy." I agree with Karl Marx that a demo form of democracy is a form of a dictatorship that repeats itself; but I disagree with Karl Marx on the note that we should automatically raise the proletariat to the ruling class because the proletariat is not always ready to rule and as a result that in itself will become detrimental to the proletariat. Just because many people are in the same class system does not means they view things on an equal footing. For example, some people are in the proletariat class because they lost riches they had possessed; some

people are in the proletariat class because of slavery, discrimination, so on so forth. My point is, we will not be able to solve society's problems with class systems, but we should look at the psychological aspects of individuals' priorities. Just because a group of people in the same class system does not mean that they all want the same thing such as ruling over the bourgeoisie. Maybe, some people in the proletariat just want to get away or escape their conditions then go live in peace without any some forms of ambitious agenda of conquistador. The Soviet Union was a great example, the proletariat overthrew the bourgeoisie but things did not get better for the proletariat because his wishes have been transformed to what we would consider such government in the west as dictatorship. And yet, freedom, mobility and expression are what the proletariat is claimed to be after according to Karl Marx, but when they get the chance to do it, they turn it into an oppressive regime who takes away all of those rights. In my conclusion, I believe it has to do with science because human nature does not let them function well as monolithic unless they want to, because of variations in our brains and of our brains; therefore, when try, or force to make to operate as monolithic creatures it causes negative boomerang. On that note, Karl Marx proletariat is fifty percent right and fifty percent wrong. In the twentieth century, the fact and the matter are every country has tried such Marxist ideology has turned to be dictatorship in some form or another.

Even in a capitalist society like the United States where, for instance, it assumes to be the number one advocator for liberal democracy around the world; in its own rights it does not have a perfect democracy. I think they have perfected the idea by hiding the flaws in their democracy that the founding fathers has initiated or put in place. We can use this example of African-American question under the two hundred and thirty years (230) years of American democracy. If we, for instance promoting human rights, political empowerment to people around the world, therefore, everyone would want us all to practice what we teach. We are involving in every country

promoting democracy and overthrowing presumed dictators, but yet, our citizens were unable to walk into a restaurant and sit down to eat because of Jim Crow laws. For many years, many minority groups were unable to vote because discriminatory laws have denied them access to do so. I believe, if there will be democratic systems in the world, for it to do effective it should be diversified; a single form of democracy is strictly considered dictatorship. If a country chooses to adopt democracy in any form, it must be put in place in a way so to adapt with the culture of country; any form of democracy or monarchy should not impose in a country by a foreign because the foreign power will never always create a void for exploitation in such country. We have many examples in Africa and Latin America such as Belgium in the Congo who have killed nearly eleven millions Congolese under King Leopold.

Now, we can take a look at the political situation in Ukraine which has been chaotic for sometimes, and before the "Maidan revolution," it was a bomb ready to explode. According to the article "participative media practices in Ukrainian social media: constructors of personal values and the new identities versus ways of political manipulations?" Starting around February 18th- February 23rd, 2014, Ukrainian politicians have used social media to either manipulate their own population and those who otherwise might have tendencies to vote differently, and to some extent, they have used it to manipulate elections in unfair manners. First and foremost, that type of activity was first used as informational warfare where politicians would use it to target or manipulate other politicians. Some of them were extremely popular for using fake accounts with numerous types of communicative characters. As the world moving to the future in the technological age, as we might technology is a head makes our lives easier, but as in life, everything that is good walks alongside the bad and the ugly. experts on the internet are willing to use it as information warfare against their political enemies or opponents, and especially, targeting minorities that might

challenge their political prowess.

As we continue to explore more important information regarding political psychology method affect citizens of each regions of the world. We can also see in the article of "factor, mobility, wage inequality, and welfare" which has shown illustrations of when the majority put an elected official in power, and some of those officials can choose to influx a country with skilled workers from other countries which in the end put the local population in terrible conditions of living. Every action in life has consequences, and whether we know it consciously or not. In the one hand, politicians might have good or even bad intentions to bringing in foreign skilled workers into the country or they might do it for political reasons so they can gain followers and gain political advantages; but on the other hand, it will have a negative impact on the local less skilled population. For example, a study in the article has shown that inflow of skilled labor increases wage inequality which also resulted in unemployment of local populations which can lead to economic inflation and financial instability for local citizens. In most instances, good intentions are not always bringing a positive outcome of a situation, but the form of that action is more important than the intentions; in other words, what seems to be good or being done out of good deeds not always determine or brings a positive result. Regardless, whether or not politicians are in fluxing a country with legal or illegal immigrants for political or personal or financial or their own gratitude. Such process has to always be taking in consideration the local population because their voices are more powerful whether or not they are being peaceful or angry for lack of services. The disregard for local population, and the valorization of foreign immigrants over local citizens is a recipe for disaster or in other words, it is an inflating balloon awaiting to bust at any moment where no one will be in position to structure it back or no one will be able to put the pieces back together or intact it because of those fractured pieces are all over the place.

As we continue our journey to the next journal "education under the shadow of politics: School building in Cyprus during the British colonial period." Although, due to political parties' affiliations, we might be thinking that it is in our favor to follow specific politicians because of thought that they might be providing better access to education, often it might not be the case. For example, in this article which is about Cyprus culture, and educative buildings under the British rule or controlled or occupation. Locals thought school buildings would improve in ways that represents Cyprus cultural history, and that was not the case. The British brought and kept their orthodox cultural architectural types of English design buildings and implemented their English type of education. Cyprus was being colonized by the British and the British dictated what works and what does not work in Cyprus during that time frame. In 1878, the Cyprus convention allows the island of Cyprus to be given to the British as a protectorate state from the Ottoman Empire as a result of British support for the Ottoman Empire in case of Russian invasion or attacks. That process had taken place without the consent of the people living in the island who were mostly majority of Greek descendants, and small Muslims minority in the north east. The citizens of Cyprus had no say (nay or yay) in the Cyprus convention; little do they do they know; they will soon be colonized by the British after the domination of the Ottoman Empire. Once the British took over the island, they set up military bases all over the island. They brought English military men of highest ranks in government positions. The English diplomats ran the island without regards for the local population and their cultures who were mostly Greeks in nature.

Some people might say well their culture is Greek and it is not that different from the English culture or they might say all Western cultures derived from Greek civilization; At the time, the Cypriot culture was very far away from the English culture. First and foremost, the Greek language is very different from English and that Greek is a very ancient language that has roots in Indo-

European from the Black sea to Persia modern day Iran. On the one hand, English derived from Germanic dialect which is fairly more modern form of language of communication that has also borrowed words from Latin which derived from Greek, and the modern English itself has borrowed large numbers of words directly from Greek language. Their customs of education, religious worship, upbringing, family traditions or relations are not exactly similar but more ancient and more Indo-European. But that the Greek culture that is so indifferent is being conquered by another culture they deemed to be inferior to theirs. In all complications, the relations between the Greek Cypriots and the minority of Muslim Cypriots were never at ease under the Ottoman Empire, and at the arrival of the British, more fire was poured to the gasoline in Cyprus. By 1885, the Greek Cypriot population was about seventy five percent (75%) in the whole island, while the Muslim population was about twenty four percent (24%) in the whole island and their demands never the same; the British did not understand their psychology of needs and wants and desires. The Greek Cypriots were looking to their motherland of Greece for life guidelines; while the Muslim minority looked to the Turks in Turkey under the Ottoman Empire for life guidelines; And both cultures disavowed the British occupation. Some Greek Cypriots accepted the British in the beginning for the simple fact they believed the British would help them re-unite with the motherland of Greece similar to the Ionian island which was re-united with the motherland of Greece.

The Cypriot population was in need of prosperity, and national liberation; intentionally the British imposed high taxes on them, plus the local leaders did not have rights or were not allowed to take in the big decisions that will decide the future of the island. Moved forward to 1914-1925, the island of Cyprus was integrated into the British Empire under their military occupation. From 1925-1960, the island of Cyprus was fully integrated into the British Empire as a Crown colony. In all confusions, the Cyprus Convention which was negotiated between the British and the

Ottoman Empire officially ended in 1914 but the British continued their occupation of Cyprus and they did not abide by the words of the Cyprus Convention. In 1914, the Ottoman Empire declared war against the Triple Entente of powers which were included Russia, Great Britain, and France. The Triple Entente was an understanding or consensus between three great European Powers such as Russia, Great Britain, and France to keep Germany and Austria-Hungary in check of bad behaviors. After the Ottoman Empire declared war on the Triple Entente including Russia, Great-Britain, and France, then on November 5th 1914, the British used the excuse of the war to fully annexed the island of Cyprus without the consent or the say (nay or yay) of the Cypriot population. With conditions, the British brought up the ideas of giveaway Cyprus back to Greece if they would enter World War I by attacking the Greek Bulgaria, the Greek rejected the British offer of attacking Bulgaria.

Following the collapsed of the Ottoman Empire, the minority of Turks in the north of Cyprus demanded to become a republic; free from the British rule and free from the majority of Greeks in the southwest. Simultaneously, the majority Greek Cypriots demanded unification with the motherland of Greece similar to Aegean and Ionian islands had done in the past. After World War I, Turkey became a separate country from the breakup of the Ottoman Empire. And in 1923, at the Treaty of Lausanne, the British forced Turkey or the Turkish Government to recognized the British sovereignty over the island of Cyprus. Since the Greeks rejected the idea of attacking Bulgaria, the British rejected and clamped down on the Greek Cypriots who wanted unification with Greece, and the British kept their grip on the island until 1960. The 1930's was an extremely chaotic period for the people of Cyprus; many rebel activities would soon lead to revolutions of destructions throughout the island. During that decade of the 1930's, Cyprus had a British Governor by the named of Richmond Palmer, he ruled the island with an iron fist but the Cypriots never let up and

they kept on revolting against Palmer's discriminatory measures. First of all, Governor Richmond Palmer was ruling a place that he was not from; second of all, the Palmer's government with his British military forces were considered an occupational force like strangers in foreign land who did not bother or take the time to understand the complications of the Cypriots' question. Therefore, the British stigmatized the local population, and the local population became devastated with unfair laws, and the British offended and disregarded the culture of the Cypriots by the changing school curriculums, architectures, and many more sensitive subjects.

The British wanted to offend the Greeks, therefore, they attacked them in their Greek style architectures; the British built buildings in English Victorian style such as schools, government buildings, and other offices. If any one study Greek civilization, they will notice that Greek architectures, and philosophy are two most important achievements of that civilization. The British knew Greek architectures and philosophy were superior of that of the English, therefore, they attacked Cyprus in those two domains. The Greek Cypriots burned down schools, offices, government buildings that were built in other than Greek styled, and there were constant, strong protests against the British government. The Cypriots were not allowed to unionized as contractors or permanent workers; they were not allowed to access to trade unions until World War II when large numbers of Cypriots joined the British military to fight the Axes power. After World War II, the referendum of 1948 has proven that ninety seven percent (97%) of Greek Cypriots wanted unification with Greece. The United Nations accepted the Cypriots referendum and four (4) years later both Greece and Turkey became NATO members; the British did not straightforward accept the Cypriot referendum but they accepted integrated them in political affairs and other important affairs of Cyprus. In the 1950's, the Greek Cypriot unification with Greece has gained tremendous International support; the Turkish Cypriots started asking what about them, shouldn't they too be

advocating for unification with Turkey?

Things started to get extremely complicated in that little island; a small island with big importance for all big players. When the British pulled their military out Egypt in that same period, Cyprus became their main doorway to the middle east. The British did not take in consideration the people Cyprus, they needed to use Cyprus as a gateway to the middle east and to expand their hegemonic power. The scenes became more violent as the Cyprus questions gained more world attentions; the people attacked British police stations and explode bombs in multiples British military bases. That tells me when people want to free there is nothing an oppressive government can do to stop them and the Cypriot had the will to die for their liberty from their oppressor. While the Greek Cypriots wanted liberty and unification with Greece; the Turkish Cypriots in the north wanted to have a consensus that would allow them to join Turkey. Prior to late 1950's, the British used the island or considered Cyprus as a British military protectorate; in the late of 1950's, the British enhanced their governmental grip and accept only to have a British military base. The Cyprus questions was handed over to Greece and turkey to among them find a resolution for the Cypriot people that have been unhappy so long under the British rule.

The British presence or control of Cyprus has created a vacuum, to some extent a quagmire that would continue even after releasing their grip on power to negotiations between the Greeks and the Turks. The British were no longer the foe, but the minority of the Turkish Community in the north. Both the Greek Cypriots majority in the south west, and the Turkish Cypriots in the north became sworn enemies because their objectives are very unsimilar. In the London agreements of 1959/1960, Greece has tried to regain International support for the unification with Cyprus. In the meanwhile, the British has forced Greece to accept their status quo due to the matter of fact of minority Turkish Cypriots in the north that Turkey has now become a vital player in this

conversation. Meanwhile, the majority of Greek Cypriots continued their attacks against the British and the Turks in the north. In 1958, the British prepared a proposal but the Greek Archbishop leader declared he would reject any proposal that is not included the Independence of Cyprus. In 1959 in Zurich, Switzerland, the major powers put in place a proposal in a form of constitution for Cyprus without presence of Greece and Turkey that would allow the British, Greece, and the Turkey to be the guarantor of integrity, sovereignty of Cyprus, and presumably, they all agreed. Cyprus became an independent state, they all agreed for Greece and Turkish to have military bases Cyprus but the Greek military must be in larger numbers at all time than the Turkish military presence in Cyprus. Rebels from the Greek section, and rebels from the Turkish have been neutralized; government cabinets must have majority of Greek Cypriots with minority of Turkish Cypriots in office, they all must be selected by president who is on must occasions Greek Cypriot. The British got to keep their military bases, and in some occasions, they play intermediary between factions. On August 16th, 1960, Cyprus became an independent state and they elected Archbishop leader Makarios III as their first president, and a year later, Cyprus became the 99th member of the United Nations. Even though Cyprus became an independent nation, the violence continues, and powerful nations pinned point factions against one another throughout the 1960's. In 1964's, due to heavy violence in between the Greek Cypriots and the Turkish Cypriots, then Cyprus President Makarios III requested help from then United States President John F. Kennedy to send troops to Cyprus, President Kennedy ordered the UN peacekeepers to be deployed in Cyprus to prevent massacres from taking place in the island. Throughout the 1970's, 80's, 90's, 2000's, 2010's, there have multiple attempts of coup d'état, some are successful and some are not; violence of factions in Cyprus became a norm where Greece and Turkey have invaded the island on many occasions. The Cyprus questions has showed that

human greed, politics, power, personal interests always put in higher regard than those of citizens or the local population. The people of Cyprus were always indivisible in every decision and they were present only by abstraction because according to the major players, the people of Cyprus were never the priority to the ruling class.

The tenth article, "social psychology: The psychology of political domination" gives a hindsight on how politicians approach the most vulnerable with different helmets just so to control them mentally. In some instances, there are politicians who go to vulnerable communities just to campaign or during elections and elections time, they just disappear and became invisible from those communities who put in power. For example, there is a town in Miami predominantly African-American community called Miami Gardens which I had to bring up and name in this project for personal reasons; the town has been in terrible conditions since the early 1990's; still to this day, not much has changed in that town such as low unemployment, drug addictions, no development, terrible housing, terrible policing, neglected and abandon buildings blocks. That town is a town democrats' campaign on every presidential election cycle; every towns in the Miami area have been improved and developed with better housing, better sanitations except for that predominantly African-American town in Miami. That is a town about ninety five percent (95%) democrats, and similarly to many democratic towns in the U.S. That town has been forgotten, neglected, and terrible schools. If the people from that town suggested that those politicians only care about the vote not their well-being then they would not be wrong because they are the forgotten ones in the society where they play their parts by voting. Miami is very different from city like New York or Los Angeles in terms of diversity; Miami has large a Cuban community, large Venezuelan community, large Colombian community, large Haitians community, and all of those communities are self-segregated, and all of those communities have gotten better in every

aspect throughout the years except for that African-American town that I have described above. The politicians are very good with idea of feeling sympathized with minorities while they do not appreciate or they take for granted how important minorities are for their political careers.

The 11th journal, "the Political anatomy of domination" illustrated that the birth of prison allows Politicians to possess a tool to hold on and to scare the mass. The set-up practices to bring in those who might otherwise volunteering stay out of the political scene; therefore, caught up at a political cross roads of partisans. Article 12th, "Class, Political domination and the African state." It tells us, that class has a lot to do with being successful in African Politics. The expansion of state control of politics in African States has its benefits and its curse which sometimes mirror each other in the public. It is a way to suppressed the political opponents and also to keep or tightening control of the populations which in the end-the Western World considered as Authoritarian but not so, in the eyes of those who hold power. Just like they always say money corrupt, so as power. As we poured more money into politics, it will bring more tricks out of politicians.

As we look to journal 13th, "what is political psychology?" To understand how Politicians, use Political psychology to control the mass or in other words to get mass to vote for them is another question in itself. It might have its positive side as to understand the importance of social relations among fellow colleagues and to develop broader knowledge of the oneself and each other socially and politically. Nonstop we're heading to article 14th, "Political Psychology and choice" which stress on the idea of Political Psychology and choice. It discusses, how those who involved in Politics and those who follows Politics can position themselves without bias. To motivate reasoning and better understand every attempt to make a political choice must done without cognitive dissonance, at least according to your own perspective. Lastly, journal 15th, "how web comments affect perceptions of Political interviews and journalistic control" discusses exposed the

polarization of political viewpoints of political adversaries to influence the public. In other words, unethical journalism can also affect the public's political choice in a negative way which is not going to benefits them the way they would like to.

Even before the dismantled of apartheid; South Africa was always a diverse society in terms of cultures but was not so economically, and politically. A country that was under heavy desperation during apartheid and the discriminatory system was brought down which also brought a (promising) future to youths in Africa. The majority of South Africa took over power Politically but economically speaking, and the overall social situation of the majority was not totally in good hands or not in good shape. Since the Afrikaans were the majority in the Country; it puts them in a very strong position politically to win every election cycle. Many minorities were started to be worried because they lost the Political grip they had in years. Nelson Mandela spent 27 years in Prison and He was one of the main actors of bringing the positive change or positive optimism to young South Africans Politically. It is still a working progress in South Africa; most importantly, South Africa is making tremendously progress and it definitely showed during the 2010 World cup.

Also, in the African continent when we look at Nigeria; it is extremely challenging to run a country with multiple tribe minorities who are not always on the same page or see things from the same angles. In Nigeria, different tribes or different classes approach Politics very differently from one another. Usually, those who take control of power in Nigeria do not take the time to understand the varieties of tribes, cultures, and social differences and that in itself can be costly; to some extent, it can create ethnic clashes. It is extremely a complex situation and because it constantly shifting back and forth; it is a situational with great and tremendous unpredictability. To some Politicians, they are satisfied as long as they can convinced to vote them into power during election

cycles to just take power, and after taking control Political power they let anything goes, and in the end, the minorities suffer.

Just as South Africa, Nigeria, the USA itself faces its own ethnic shifting which would or can have large impact on Politics and affect how Politicians approach the public during election cycles. As most people might already understand that the United States is an Immigrant country and constantly attracting immigrations of all types from all over the World for work or different purposes. Based on the article "when the minority becomes the majority" further illustrated that by the 2040's, the current minority will soon be the majority. It will also be true in other Western countries, not just the United States, it will also be true in Europe. As the format of the population changes, it will have huge impacts on election cycles and it will have huge impacts on white democrats lean toward Political conservatism. This is a pattern that will be very interesting for Political Scientist to follow because it would be extremely important to figure why those White Peoples are becoming more conservative, and the reason behind that decision. So far, we know it is because in some states the White and Black Americans will soon be the minority. There are factors to understand; In some States and most Specifically California where families are not having children to replace the older population. Second of all, large numbers of immigrations to California to feel the work force, and many of them are having more than two children on average.

Other than the population shifting in the Country, politicians do use many other clever ways or clever tactics to either manipulate voters and one way they achieve it, is by economic reform. They do take economic reform to weaken Political institutions just so to stay in power for longer period of time. Market reforms allows them to sponsor their projects to impress the voters and in many occasions; they do it by thinning other budgets from national reserve to fund their favorite expensive projects. Some of those politicians would take executive orders in favor of their friends

or process that are in their best interest and not the public's best interest which eventually put marginalized people on edge. In most cases, those policy makers do take measures that lacks the transparency in terms of reforms; it is all done to manipulate voters; must likely government reforms should be done to enhance individual freedom, for the good of monetary policy, and the enforcement of property rights. Sometimes, they overlook checks and balances by taking executive orders, and in some cases, the reforms allow lee-ways for foreign investors to come invest easily without challenges.

With technology enables us to easy access of information and many people will use technology for multiples purpose and this is no different when it comes to Politics. For example, "the Maidan" revolution in Ukraine where many corrupt Politicians took to social media to manipulate voters. In some cases, they used savvy tech individuals to create fake accounts to communicate with average joe folks who otherwise would have no clue about social media manipulations. They have used social media to promote share and promote misinformation to mislead the public from being able to make a concrete or personal decision. During the period of 2013-2014, the Ukrainian revolution reporters reported fake news to the public, news photographers, videos, knowledge to a point where to control the public's opinions and decisions.

When it comes to social mobility or social class; Politics can psychologically affect those that are less-privilege in specific ways. Not having the proper civic education and not understanding the history of a nation which might be truer or relates to the lower class can definitely put them in weak positions to understand or defend their individual rights. Now, citizenship does not necessary means being just physically born in a Country but it also means to be well-versed in the history, basic understanding of culture, basic understanding of laws, basic understanding of individual rights in the Country. Lack of understanding of those basics in a country will totally put a people

in weak position to access power in that Country. Lower social class individuals in society might find it a lot harder to access civic information but in currently days of market-driven economic system the lower class is not the only groups being affected negatively but also the large numbers of rich peoples or rich families who does not possess the proper civic education can also get caught up in the matrix of not understanding.

In a market-driven system, many people are acquiring money without the proper basics understanding of civic duties or understanding the basic history of the country as long as they are good slaves of the system- they will make money for the system and those people become rich slave of the market system. Money has nothing to do with someone's value and to weigh in someone's value take away the vanities from that person and then see how weak or how strong person is really is. It is has a lot to do with the psychology of a person just to test their values and that is important because a good slave is someone who accept the status quo whether or not what is occurring is bad or good for the person; in most cases, those who are in charge will never give you what is good for you. In our current market-driven system, individuals are becoming more confused whether or not what they are doing is safe or destructive. Now-a-days, we tend to put in power those who have money not necessarily those who possess the civic knowledge of the country. It has a lot to do with misinformation and it is not a safe bet or not beneficial for a country in the long run, it will lead self or other types of destruction.

Similar to what happened in Ukraine in 2013 regarding misinformation, similar actions took place in the democrat-republican election in 2016. Whereas, many Hillary Clinton and Donald Trump supporters where all in total disagreement with each other where they all transformed it to online harassing, fake news, and sometimes they took it to the street. Many would make the case that the political chaos was taking because of the Clintons' and Trumps' rhetoric's. The country has been

Politically divided for sometimes now, and I can say for sure this deep Political division started under George W. Bush second term. This Political division really started when then President George W. Bush stated "either you with me or against me" and that statement alone forced everybody who was involved in American politics to really pick their sides. Those who were moderate Democrats became extreme left, and those who were moderate Republicans became a lot more conservative. A two-party system is a bit more democratic than a one-party system, but it's still not healthy when it is happens under forces of rhetorical, partisan politics, and polarization. In a sense, it is a strategy the Politicians demonstrate to politically control the public thought process and they divided them to easily conquer them. One of the crazy stories that happened the 2016 election that "young man carrying an assault rifle walked into Comet Pizza in Northwest Washington, D.C., to investigate reports that Hillary Clinton and her campaign chief were running chief were running a pedophilia ring from the basement of the pizza parlor," according to Walter Lippman of public opinion. That actions in itself describes the spectrum of the political sphere back in 2016 which carries on to this day and to some extent, a little bit worse similar to a circus show.

Overall, political psychological walks hand in hand with colonization, and to some extent, colonization is the root cause of political psychological domination. Colonization historically has negative impacts on minorities across the world, and in general, colonization creates the route to stigmatization of minorities which puts them in very weak positions. For example, when the British colonized Cyprus in the late 19th as always, they first introduced their schooling method to the island without taking into consideration Cyprus was a different culture with different customs. Cyprus had some autonomy to their educational system but they were still stigmatized as people because the British and Turkish Cypriots attended different schools. But the Brits were not about

just controlling the educational system but also the architectural design of school buildings.

The architectural buildings in a country reflex the country's culture, power, et cetera. As George Orwell stated "all issues are political issues" and "there is no such thing as keeping out of politics." The people in Cyprus wanted see their cultural identity portrayed in the architectural building in the country. As I mentioned above, one of the ways the colonizer controls the mental development of the colonized being is through the educational system and portrayed their prowess through architectural being. The people in Cyprus in one hand see no cultural or social and educational connection to those English style architectural buildings which in other might be undermining their local culture. At the end of the 19th century, there were two major communities which were the Greeks (Orthodox Christians) and the Turkish (Muslims) but the majority of the Greek community was under the English control and they were promoting their Western cultural identity and suppressed the Turks in Cyprus. That division in itself caused great resentment among the different ethnic groups, and even to this day there are signs of the uneasy past among them. When political leaders want to be elected; they play one group against the other or play both of them without distinction.

Now-a-days, no one has time for anything concrete; we are all living in a world that forces everyone to constantly running or rushing to go make a few bucks, and in the meanwhile, they forget about everything else that are essential to our well-being or to the betterment or the improvement of society as a whole. We are currently living in a world where we are trading things that are most essential to our survival for things that might be destructive for our survival. For example, human beings spent more time consuming what the world has to offer while we are not nourishing our minds and other beings in the world. We are fishing out the oceans while we're also polluting it, and we must not forget that whatever we do to destroy the world-we are destroying

ourselves because the planet Earth will always be here even when we're all gone out. In most instances, those that we put in position of power to lead us sometimes behave worse than us because they might not know what they are doing or purposely keep us down or keep us in the dark of their actions. At the end of the day, manipulations, dishonesty, lack of integrity, greed is what wins in modern day political field. Sometimes, they will use the people to cause anarchy in the name of democracy; the people go along because many of them do not have a clue of what is taking under the table or behind the curtain because politics is never black and white and it is always abstract.

The political psychological domination comes in all forms as mentioned above; it can be deceivable of understanding that presents itself in an abstract way. In other words, the friendliest politicians and the soft-spoken politicians does not necessarily mean they have a big or good heart. It would not fair to put all politicians in the same category but they are a force or a wind that's always pushing them to deceive the public even when some of them would like to get things done. No matter their political ideology, one can claim to do a Marxist, Nazi, fascist, socialist, capitalist or what-not, and none of the ideological matter if the politician is not delivering or meet the needs of the public. Often that is case, where politicians put their political ideology ahead of the public needs as long as the public follow suit, wrong. Just like no one is perfect, and no political ideology is somewhat can be perfect and what is most important is to fill the need of those who put you in power.

Human evolution is like a wheel that constantly rolling nonstop and even we die the world continues or will continues to roll. We tend to attached ourselves to things and all things are generationally periodic and what we think is long-term is really short term. When we are all screwed is when we are all reach a point of stop learning from each other or from the nature of the

fast-changing world. In life, too much of a good is a bad thing and too much of a bad is self-destruction which means having power can a good thing to be able to help those who are more vulnerable but too much uncontrollable power will cause self-destruction and that is the nature of the beast. In life, everything has to do calculated in other for its existence to make sense, political power is a form of social power which means it exist because more than one person agrees there must be a sovereign for us human beings to balance our relationships.

subjectively, there is not a perfect political ideological system in the World; we like to throw around the idea of democracy can solve all problems and that is delusional. Just as socialism, communism is unable to solve all problems; democracy cannot solve all problems. At this point, it is not because I am for or against socialism, communism, democracy, so on so forth, it is because the fact has been tested and proven that none of those political ideologies or political models are able to perfectly sustain enough to contain our problems. A forceful liberty is the same as a forceful oppression; the fact and the matter is, I can conclude the perspective or the point of view that democracy is a demo of repetition, and after a while that can lead to a boring and outdated system that is out of touch with the people, and I personally believe that is the down side of democracy. An idealistic politician is in better place to connect with the people than an ideological politician who put political ideologies before the well-being of the people and in most cases, those ideological politicians turn out to be authoritarian even in a democratic system. I am quite sure most people who put politicians in power for their political ideologies end up being disappointed because the political ideologies come before everything and that is include feeding you and your family.

One abstract thing about putting politicians in power is sometimes they decide who enjoy what benefits and sometimes they started out one way and suddenly change their stands on issues. It is

a political practice that takes place all over the world and that is including in Asia and Africa where politicians constantly shifting their stands on issues. It is theory that is takes place especially in Africa all the time where politicians use the masses to obtain power as a populist than created government policies and regulations that only benefits their powerful rich affluent colleagues then left the masses for dry or dead. In post-colonial Africa, there was great opportunities for those who know and wanted to get rich quick and many of them did get rich quick either by involve in politics or approximated themselves alongside powerful corrupt politicians who would allow them to control the economy or the market by any means necessary. Many of those politicians as describe above started out as revolutionary fighting for the masses and that is all change when they obtain power. What comes into play here is greed for wealth, ambitions to keep grip on power and at the end of it all, is the masses who put them in power who got screwed. Such bad political habits lead to authoritarianism which can cause physical violence, intimidation of political opponents and that shows there is no perfect politics plus anything else we incorporated into it.

Political Psychology and choice are quite complicated because not everyone is in best position to make the right choice. In some occasions, the numbers of candidates being put upfront might be quite limited and that none of them represent the voter's politics but they still to choose one. For example, during the 2016 election in the United States many people where disfavored of either Donald Trump and Hillary Clinton but those people who had fulfill their civic duty had to vote for one, anyway. Vote for someone whom you dislike is one piece to the puzzle; vote for someone out of ignorance is another piece to the puzzle; being manipulated to vote for someone whom you not sure about is another problem in itself inside a gigantic problem. In a market-driven system where everyone living busy and fast-moving life; they tend to ignore politics and politicians who are making decision to affect their lives. Some people only care about politics every four years during

presidential elections and that means most of those do not spend the time to learn about their candidates, therefore, they will vote for someone they would wish not voting for.

Lastly, in our technological and informational age, politicians do not need to attend as many rallies as they used to in the past and they can just use social media to connect with their voting base while seating at home or from any other remote locations. As technology gives us the people and politicians easy access to connect with each other, it also comes with full package which is the good, the bad, and the ugly. The good side is that we as people of the world get easy access to internet and easy access to information via the internet to enrich our minds. The bad side is that not everyone uses the internet to get closer with family members and friends or to enrich their minds; some people use the internet to antagonize other and things get really bad when it turns political. It gets uglier in a polarized political atmosphere where every politician using the (You vs. I) mentality. voters tend to behave similar with candidates they follow; in some cases, they behave worse toward other people whom do not their opinions. It seems, behaving badly while on the internet goes across the board, including invasive journalists, stalkers, fans, friends, strangers, etc. When a politician takes to the web, that is what they have to deal with journalists who bias and partisan, political opponents which whom do not shares and behave in an antagonistic manner.

We are currently living in a world with easy access to everything, and sometimes in many occasions, we can take things that are meaningful to us lightly. With our busy and fast lives, many of us tend to ignore our civic duties such as voting, learn about regulations, local laws, restrictions in our districts. Education is an important aspect to our lives and one important institution urban America has been complaining and worrying about. Sometimes, our local kids in some districts might lack of access to proper, pre-schooling due lack of funds, and this can all happen by not fulfilling our civic duties and even if we do fulfill our civic duties, we still need to inform to make

the right decision.

Prior to the release of former President Nelson Mandela from prison, South Africa was in turmoil under the regime of apartheid which antagonized, stigmatized, traumatized the black population for many years. The release of Nelson Mandela from prison has brought an end to the regime of apartheid and brought to some light of day to the black youth of Africans. The end of apartheid also exposed other problems that were haunting the South African society for many years and one of them has been deep division. The government was handed to the Afrikaans which were the majority in the country but at the same time, other minorities were still marginalized and misrepresented not only in government but also in all other aspects of life. Nelson Mandela won his first election in 1994, he brought great hope to youths of South Africa; He won the election in a country that was haunted with racial segregation and discrimination. The sinful system of apartheid dehumanized everyone who was not Anglo or Dutch descendants in South Africa.

The make up four racial groups in South Africa were the Blacks who are the Indigenous population, the Whites who are the colonizers mostly descendants of English, and Dutch, the Colored who are mostly mixed raced and light skin individuals, and the Indians who are originated from south of India brought to the country by the British during their colonization of India to work similar to the situation of Guyana in South America. During and post period of Apartheid, the Indigenous population was nearly eighty one percent (81%), while nine percent (9%) white, nine percent (9%) colored, and two percent (2%) Indians. Based on this statistical data, South Africa was country forced to rule by a minority who did not care too much about the well-beings of the people.

When Nelson Mandela took power, that reality became reversed where the majority took over

power, and also, they became majority in government. Under the Apartheid regime, the minority whites had held all the power, and they stigmatized, and they discriminated against all of those who were not considered white. Post-Apartheid brought the idea of equal rights for everyone regardless of races or identity. Even though, the Indigenous population power but it was extremely difficult to overturned the social injustice that Apartheid put in place for decades such as unemployment, housing, education, stricken poverty of blacks and other minorities. Nelson Mandela promised to his population he would improve all of that burden but it was not something he could have done overnight because the socio-economic inequality in the country was in terrible shape. Nelson Mandela became president in 1994, and ten years later, the unemployment in the country was still near thirty percent (30%), and that was with huge improvements because during the Apartheid the unemployment in the country for blacks was at sixty percent (60%).

But also, I must mention, when the majority took power reversed racism starting taking place by seizing land from whites that were presumably considered stolen land from Blacks during Apartheid, take government benefits from that were presumably corruptly given to whites that were belong to blacks, on and on, et cetera. The government started defunded white schools and invested more into black schools, reimbursed blacks who were financially impacted by the of Apartheid. All of that positive things had not been enough because the pain was engraved for so long into the system; for sure, the country was not the same huge progress and reforms had taken placed. The barrier was still there for large numbers of the population in education, in employment, and socio-economic where many people could not take themselves out of the hole that the evil system of Apartheid had put them. The government had put placed many social programs for victims to tell their stories, programs for advancement in education, programs for advancement in employment. Since things were changing and continue to change, therefore, the effect had to be

felt which resulted of some whites started to fall below the poverty because they had to give up land, money, and resources they had stolen from the Indigenous populations. Some whites left by returning to Europe, some came to America, many went to other African countries or Asia. What I learned from the South African experience is problems exist in every society, every region, every country, every town but it is worse for some if you have to operate under the barrel of guns, racial segregation, discriminations of all sorts not because of being different cultures but for the color of one's skin. In addition, such society that is so plague by hatred forces all of its citizens to be victimized regardless of social status, rich or poor; such society with that type hegemonic behavior toward its citizens will have to collapse so it could be rethink, rebuild, re-structure the sovereign to represent, to stand, to defend all of its subjects in the manner appropriate.

Now, if I move up from South Africa's experience with colonization, politicians; let's zoom to the northwest of Africa in the country of Nigeria. Nigeria is a country with a massive population, with a massive landmass, with massive natural resources, and most importantly, settlements of hundreds of tribes different that are estranged to one another socially, and politically. The three largest ethnic groups are the Hausa with twenty eight percent (28%) of the population; The Yoruba with twenty two percent (22%) of the population; The Igbo with eighteen percent of the population; along with many other small tribal groups such as the Efik, Ibidio, Annang, and Ijaw in the south. Nigeria is forty five percent (45%) Christians, forty five percent (45%) Muslims, and ten percent (10%) of local religious beliefs practice by local Indigenous. The three main tribal groups such as the Hausa, Yoruba, and the Igbo are also the main languages of Nigeria. In a country as diverse as Nigeria, it is important to have a clear knowledge of its demographics to really dig into the complexities of its politics toward its most vulnerable population. In this case of the Nigerian question, I can say for sure that when I say the vulnerable population, I meant every ethnic groups in that country are

all vulnerable. The three largest ethnic groups in Nigeria who always tussle for power are not much bigger than one another.

During the late 1940's and throughout the 1950's, Nigerian nationalist leaders from all walks of life, from diverse religious beliefs and they all came together to protect Nigerian from the British colonizers who have abused them, manipulated them, and stole their natural resources for decades; they stood up together kick the British out of Nigeria. Once they kicked the British out Nigeria, they will soon be realized that they did not share same social and political comprehension which later will result in conflicts among themselves as Nigerians. The Nigerian Christians and other minority groups feared Muslims; the Christians believed if they let the Muslims take control of the government then they will impose harsh Islamic laws in the country's institutions such as sharia laws. As a result, the mistrust ended up in pointing fingers at each other for the country dysfunction; the blame goes to all directions. The mistrust resulted in heavy government corruptions in terms of assumed power and enriching friends, family members, and close partners. The problems of the smaller tribes have gotten worse throughout because the three biggest groups won't give them a chance to advocate for their own issues. One of the many reasons the smaller tribes unable to get access to power is because they don't cooperate or work in collaboration with other, therefore, once the majority take power they forget about the minority.

In the twenty (20) years, the rise of terrorist group of boko haram is a result of plague division among ethnic conflicts in Nigeria. The Muslim want to rule when the Christians are in power; the Christians want to rule when Muslims are in power; none the groups are willing to compromise on any political and social affairs. Between 2002-2004, the Muslims took power in the north and they implemented sharia laws; the Christians polemically fought back against such policies that were put in place in the north. In that three (3) year of clashes, many citizens lost their lives, large

numbers of people have been displaced, and it has caused massive destructions of properties which were important and essential to the survival of some Nigerian tribes, and especially, the most remote tribes. One real lesson I took away from the Nigerian experience is similar to what happened in Cyprus; the presence of the colonial British forces made the matter worse; they left behind a vacuum or really a quagmire of divisions among ethnic groups either majority or minority.

As we well know, all social impacts or all social functions in a society are all connected; whenever there is malfunctions in any sectors in a society, it causes a general disturbance to all other institutions in the society. Such malfunctions cause disturbance to all other sectors in the society whether direct or indirect. A society is like chains with multiples nut pegs that ties all of them together and if one nut breaks the chain will collapse. The point to the last statement is, social changes in a society can be seen as a good thing for some and a terrible thing for others. As we leave the African Continent, we coming to America where many social changes are taking place, and one change in particular, is the change of demographics in some states, and most specifically in California. According to the article “when the majority becomes the minority,” they projected by the year of twenty forty-two (2042) the current majority in California will become the minority. For the current minority in California who are mostly Latinos, African-Americans, and Asians that projection may be seen as good news; for the current white majority in California that projection is seen as a terrible news. Whites who were as liberal as one can be, they do not like the idea of becoming a minority because that also means their political power, their white dominance over others will also be diminish. That projection alone forces most white liberal and democrats to become more conservative. Some of them switch to become republicans, some of them become more conservative democrats who are in favor of strict immigration policies, some of them are also become in favor of cutting government programs that used to benefit immigrants and their

children.

Mobility is one of such demographic change in California: first of all, California has a border with Mexico and many Central Americans enter the United States through that border; second of all, the southwestern states such as New Mexico, Arizona, and to some extent Nevada are homes to large population of Central Americans. In the meanwhile, the white population is having less kids, and are aging faster than the rest of the population in California and many other states. California is also the home to the largest Asian population in the country and their ethnic backgrounds are mostly Chinese, Japanese, Indians, and Russians who sometimes can pass for whites. While the white population is still currently the majority in California and most specifically in northern California but could soon change with influx of immigrants and housing crisis in big hippie cities like Los Angeles, San Francisco.

Social demographical change in California and many other states will definitely impact the sovereign without a doubt or without a question. It will affect politics first and foremost because California has the largest population in the country; it is traditionally a liberal state with the exception of northern California, and I can predict it will be more so in the future because immigrants and their kids tend to lean toward liberalism politics. Some white Californians are not just insecure about faces that are tanner than their own but also the economic factor has a big role to play because not all whites are doctors, lawyers, engineers, astronomers, scientists, or big farmers, therefore, some whites have to compete other immigrants for low wage jobs and that makes them resentful. Even on the professional level for example they have to compete with Indian doctors, Japanese engineers where they always the big bosses in those fields. The idea of competing with minorities for jobs drove many whites out California to other states; some of them are bias, therefore, they self-excluded because of prejudice and they don't like other people. There

is a French or Haitian expression that says “if you do not cooperate, you will lose both the bags and the crabs,” it means that in a diverse world how we can survive is with the social cooperation with each other because we all need one another. The white population is shrinking due to lack of reproduction of offspring; they are aging at a faster rate than the minority population; lastly, some of them are self-excluded and moving out due to prejudice, and as we can see, the odds are against them in this scenario.

When the demographics of a region is changing, it also changes the political psychology of the representative of such region. We can learn from history that politicians in the United States tend to take for granted the voice, the vote, the need of people of color. Most would say they come around only when there is opportunity to acquisition of power; they never come back to help those minorities who put them in power. On the one hand, they take every white vote seriously and they always return the favor with heavy investing and provide services to white regions that help them acquire power. California is the biggest state in terms of population, and the most important state for liberal democrats with large numbers of electoral college votes, with many counties, and many rich so as poor districts. Just because the demographics is changing, it does not mean it will change the representative politics; what it will definitely change is the political psychology. We have seen this scenario played out in front of us, although, the minority population is large in California but they have little to no representative in Congress, no senators, almost no governor, all the mayors of each county are almost entirely white whether such counties are current minority-majority. Becoming the majority is one thing, gaining political power is another thing; that is where the whites really know how to find all the tricks in the political books so they can take power and keep power. When the whites want to gain power, they will be as liberal as one can be if they know that is what going to get in power; they will be as conservative if they have just to foolishly convince

you that they are politically working for you. They will do anything just to get your vote, they will never return the favor by coming to the community who help them gain power, at least, that is the case with California and there is real example other such as Florida, New York, et cetera. I must clarify when say return the favor, I do not say it in a context of quid pro quo among politicians, but in the context of when a community put a politician in power, he should always go back to that community to see what that community might need so he could provide it. That is the approach of many white politicians and most specifically liberal democrat politicians; they only come around when election times and disappear until the next election cycle. As we speak in 2020, the population of California is nearly forty millions (40, 000, 000) people; the white population including white Hispanics is sixty percent (60%); the Asian population is sixteen percent (16%); the Black population is seven percent (7%); the Indigenous population is two percent (2%), many more small percentages of mixed groups; the population of white non-Hispanics is thirty nine percent (39%).

One of the major ways' politicians manipulate their people is, by throwing the word democracy around to keep them waiting or to do nothing at all. To many people the word democracy does not have one specific meaning; To some people democracy means freedom of expression; To some people democracy means free elections; To some people democracy means dictatorship because at certain times and periods it could be pervasive due to its complexity and its variable meanings. Over the years, the word democracy has lost its true meaning and politicians have been using it so lightly that it carries no more weight. In society, where the word democracy has lost its meaning have turned into anarchy and barbarism. In the book of the Republic by Plato, he has stated that the reason Spartan society which was a monarchic form of ruling superior to Athens which was a democratic form of government was because there was more order in Sparta. The Spartans had a

strong army which controls what comes in and out of Sparta, they kept order in their families, they kept order in education, and most important aspects of their lives; While, the Athenian democratic form government usually out of control, and they could not keep order in their families, the schools had no principles, and everything was reverse. My point here is, not to downplay democracy but to illustrate its flaws of imperfection from the roots of its beginning in the Athenian days. Since democracy rooted in Athens, therefore, we can use the Athenian democratic forms as an example to portray what it is really about, what is its flaws, what is its benefits, and we can say it is not a perfect system because it naturally deceives its citizens of its true meaning.

When politicians want to scare people, they use words like, our democracy is in danger, it is the end of democracy, but large percentage of the population do not know what democracy was or is, not because of lack of education, but because its meanings and contexts changes constantly at the speed of light. Since a democratic form of ruling should be for the people and by the people, why when they elect an official who has enough power to solve the problems of the people but he does not do it? Because democracy is an abstract ticket for the few to gain power and once gain power, they screw everyone in the bottom of the pyramid who put them in power. In modern day political sphere, we can interchange the word democracy for the word of political manipulation. Since the word democracy does not one specific meaning, it can be thrown around in multiple contexts, therefore, those of us who engage can just associate the word democracy with the behaviors of actors involve in it which might mostly describe as a form of political manipulation of that they work for. Scientifically speaking, there is no true democracy in a society when its citizens have to abide by rules written two hundred (200) years ago, while representatives do not have to. Karl Marx, a socialist believed democracy was and is a “demo” that replays itself but never innovate itself; Former President François Duvalier (Papa Doc) who was somewhat a capitalist believed

democracy is just a “word” that thrown around to fool the mass. I am not a Marxist but I agree with Karl Marx that democracy is a “demo,” and I definitely agree with François Duvalier that democracy is a “word” which its meanings and contexts depend on the objectives of those who are using it.

The aim or goal of all politicians to gain whether through soft power such as diplomacy, and sometimes, they would not shy from going after their political opponents or the people who oppose them. That is exactly what happened in the Ukraine after the “Maidan revolution,” they rigged the elections, they sent thugs out to intimidate political opponents, they used social media platforms to send out misinformation, and again in this scenario the people have control of what is taking place except being used as pawns to go out and carried out politicians dirty work. I have this idea, I think every political scenario no matter where it is taking place, it can all be connected no matter if it is monarchic, democratic, or undemocratic. The “Maidan revolution” was military coup or paramilitary coup by those who were pro-western and opposed the Yanukovich government who was a friend of Vladimir Putin. The Maidan revolution lasted five deadly days from February 18th – February 23rd 2014; It all started when a group of people so-called anti-government demonstrators occupied the Mariinsky park in the capital district. Their aim was the removal of President Viktor Yanukovich from office; In the meanwhile, and alongside the protesters, there were also rioters. This political scenario took place because the pro-western politicians did not like President Viktor Yanukovich because he was leaning toward Russia.

As a result, they dethroned him or unseated him; he left power and went to exile in Russia to save his own life. His former opponent Yulia Tymoshenko released from prison right after Yanukovich flee to Russia; they also repealed the law that allows Russians in the east to be recognize as Russian speakers. They cut all benefits and rights from the Russian minority in the east of Ukraine. They

charged fifty (50) people whom they assumed organized the killing of more than one hundred and fifty (+150) protesters or rioters. Ukraine has been known to be a very right-wing country in eastern Europe, and the only two countries that can come close to Ukraine are Germany and Poland. Right after the revolution, with the rise of nationalism they destroyed most soviet era monuments. The Russians in the east were worrying so they called out Putin to come save them; so, Vladimir Putin sent his military to Crimea which is a small peninsula in the southeast of Ukraine, and the Russians said it belongs to them. Crimea was given to Ukraine by Russia in 1954 by then president Khrushchev who himself was Ukrainian origin. The battle continued in the east were pro-Russians vs pro-western or pro-Ukrainians started shooting missiles at each other, tanks battles, heavy artillery battles, sounds of A-K 47 sings like birds' night and day. Large numbers of the Russian minority in the east Ukraine fled to Russia it was not safe to stay in Ukraine. The soldiers were shooting artillery blindfolded because they wanted to destroy everything in the east because it was mostly Russian minority. The Minsk agreement attempted to bring cease fires to the conflict which they succeeded after more than a few attempts. Although, the war is not over but there is cease fire that was signed by Russia and the European Union that brought calm to the conflict. Thousands of people died in the conflict, especially, the Russian minority in the east have been affected tremendously during after the conflict because they had to flee from their homes, some lost family members, homes destroyed and they left with nothing in a cold place like Ukraine. What I learned from conflict is that is not that any different to the dethronement of Apartheid in South Africa; Is not that different to the question of Cyprus; and over all, is not that different from any forms of acquisition of power. The aim of all politicians is acquisition of power and if they want it badly, they will any form of chaos or obstacle to achieve their aim which is power.

Just as politicians have enough power to psychologically use people against one another, they also

have enough power to improve the wages, the mobility of their citizens, if they will. As we know, higher wages, and improved mobility are two challenges ordinary folks constantly faced, and most of the times, these two challenges are results of their misfortune, but the result of negligence from politicians' behaviors. Economics become more essential to the survival of our society, especially true in capitalistic societies. The gap between low wages jobs and professional jobs extremely large. In some instances, there are professional scientific fields that must be filled but not enough qualified local people to fill those positions. This is where the politicians come in, they pass laws that are in favor of influx the country with high skilled immigrants, and sometimes, they open the country to low skilled workers. Open a country to high skilled immigrants is not a bad thing, but when they continue with these types of policies, it can impact the local population in negative ways. Sometimes, elected officials forget about the local population in terms of wages, job mobility, and in overall, they forget the welfare of the people.

In the United States, fifty-five (55%) percent of doctors were born out of the country and that is also true in many other scientific fields such as engineering, and high-tech jobs. Influx of immigrants is plus and a positive for the local population in the short-term; Influx of immigrants is a minus and a burden on the local population which can be problematic in the long term. United States like most other countries where the middle class is the main voting block; In other words, if a politician want to be elected into office, they must have the support of the middle class. The middle class usually the victim class politically after assumed politicians into office. Those politicians only in favor of influx of immigrations because the newly immigrants will more likely vote for such politicians; in other words, they only favored immigrations for personal political reasons to stay in power not because it will automatically will make the country or the people better.

An industrialized or a capitalist country like the United States where employers is getting richer and richer; as they get richer and richer, they also invested in politicians or politics for implementation of policies that are mostly in favor of their corporations such as big tax cuts the creates big surplus for the rich. Which Karl Marx predicted in Das Kapital in 1867, the lower-class workers will become poorer and alienated while producing more goods for the enrichment of the rich. In such capitalist country, the status of being residents or citizens to a country became less important because it is more about how much you can produce as a worker. If a local worker cannot keep up with the speed of productions, they can replace instantly with a foreigner regardless of status. We can imagine, this is where the locals negatively impacted when it is only about how much you can produce and not about the status of such worker. The nature human beings, is we do not like losing what we possess, and especially, to foreigners who might resident or citizens; therefore, that can create xenophobia, resentment, racist scenarios in such society like ours. The local workers become defenseless, they are being exploited, they do not possess or have control over their productions. The capitalist lobbying politicians to only protect them and fool the laborers whom are the lower to middle class. The introduction of money into societies have helped create the parity between rich and poor, between politicians and the people. Since politics become fast way for career politicians to enrich themselves; modern day politics seems to have no difference from other careers such as business, or marketing retail sales. Since the acquisition to power requires psychology of convincing the people in the electoral process; therefore, that is exactly what the politicians do, they convince the people to have to power at their expense.

Political manipulations come in all forms and it affects all angles of our life as a society. Sometimes, political manipulations can be seen; Sometimes, political manipulations cannot be seen. In other words, political manipulations can only be visible to intellectuals who can spare

their time digging to concrete to details in human behaviors. Politicians are regular folks or ordinary human being and most of them do not come forward and straight-shooting words in the air; some politicians are masters of their political crafts, and they do it quite well, and it is even harder to detect their tendency if they are somewhat creative. Sometimes, what we can see can be quite clear such as lack of investment in those vulnerable communities, lack of investment in early childhood education in urban areas, lack of affordable healthcare. Political manipulations can also feel in forms of domination like a foreign power occupying our space or our country such as apartheid in South Africa which enforced their own rules, regulations, and discriminatory restrictions over the Indigenous populations. The British occupation of Cyprus, although, they were not legitimate but still enforced their own domination over the people; they put their own leaders in power to dominate the locals. In Nigeria, it is not foreign power who were dominating them but the corrupt politicians who neglected the local Indigenous tribes. Ukraine is a great example of country that ends up at a crossroad between foreign superpowers and local corrupt politicians where the citizens constantly have to worry about their house getting bomb down by rebel groups. Europe want to be the main player in Ukraine; in the meanwhile, Russia next door in the east would not let Europe and the United States dominate its neighborhood. The local corrupt politicians only worrying about how to use the people against each other to put them into power, and how they can use powerful foreign partners to gain power by force or by coup d'état. In all of this mess, the only loser here is the local population or the people who always front and centered or subjects to every revolution, and yet, they gain nothing from the means.

The personalities that are useless or ineffective or overall bad human beings, they do not decide one night they are going to be bad. Political manipulations are as process, each politician approach that process in different stage in their lives and some politicians unconsciously take that directions

and they do not see it until it is too late. For example, we can point out some politicians who would claim to be the defender of specific communities they have never been associated with; how can one defend a community without hurting their causes without being associated with them, and that is itself I do not understand and never will even if I try. The people should always question the motives of such politicians who are associated with them; and yet, they are claiming to be the defenders of those communities. It gets even more complicated with politicians who are associated the communities that they claiming to defend when their motives might be genuine in the beginning of their political career; such politicians tend to slowly change in their political career. Such politicians tend become corrupt by rich interest groups who want to implement policies in their favor. We can assume or even conclude the main motives of those politicians are money, power.

We can look back to the Sumerian Civilization which has been existed between 4500 B.C. - 1900 B.C in old Mesopotamia located between the Euphrates and the Tigris river in modern day country known as Iraq. The Sumerians were the first known or the first recorded Civilization to have used or invented hieroglyphic writings, mathematics, sciences, drainage system, astronomy, income tax returns, geometry, law, literature, chariot wagons; all of those inventions would later spread to other eight (8) kingdoms in Mesopotamia such as the Akkadians, the Assyrians, the Babylonians, and many more, et cetera. Prior to the Sumerian Civilization, people were known to be nomadic and non-agricultural because they were moving from place to place and settled in specific locations for long. Other civilizations such as Ancient Egypt Empire would soon carry on many of those Sumerians dominate the world for thirty (30) centuries. The Persian Empire, the Greek Empire, and the Roman Empire inherited more inventions and civilizations from the Sumerians and Ancient Egypt than they have invented. The people of Sumeria of Mesopotamia physical

characteristics were described as stocky, short, long nose, some looked like western Asians, and another group had brown skin that looked more like South Indians or Eastern Africans. The main purpose of bringing the Sumerians civilization into this conversation is connect it to political manipulations; is because no matter what time or the civilization, once we the people agree to form a community of society then there will always be a sovereign. Since the Sumerian Civilization until now, there have always been a sovereign to regulate the community of people what we call a form government in a society or country. Whether the sovereign has been a monarchic form of ruling, or a republican form of ruling; the sovereign has to be in contemplation with current situations of the people. Historically, it is déjà vu that we see over and over again the sovereign tends to lack behind in terms of evolution of the people. And we know for sure, when the sovereign is lack behind in evolution of the people, then in itself can trigger all kinds of revolution. Government do not respond to the people's needs then they will have chaos and revolution and that has proven in the last six thousand (6000) years of community civilizations. We the people constantly put politicians in power to work for us, and we expect to represent us and do their job by providing for our needs. Due to power hungry, political gains, financial gains, the politicians we put in power often times turn their back on the people, and left them cold for dead. After years of wasted ballots for politicians, after years of neglect, after years of forgotten by politicians with good promises; people started to open their eyes and standing up against politicians who manipulations and take their supports for granted.

In life everyone needs a second chance and without a doubt that should also applies to or include to politicians; a second opportunities must be given to politicians if slacking in the beginning of their political career. If their habits become more consistent then they are not qualified, not prepare to rule because in most political jobs, they will be in positions to deal with life and death situations

and if someone is ill-prepared then they do not deserve to occupy such positions. The game of politics is not for babies; politics is about go to sleep late, and wake up early every day. Politics is about sleeping one eye close and one eye at all times because even if you are a very effective leader, political opponents will still try to you down, regardless of accomplishments. If you give the people what they want then they will keep you in power; even if you as a politician give the people what they want then after a while they will get tired with you, and ousted you out either by force, elections, or selections. Human being nature is like to pick and choose and after picking the same thing for a while, they will eventually get tired with you and then look for someone new. Politicians who can evolve with the time or go along with popular culture tend to last in power. Culture is either evolve or change as generation shift; every new generation is different from the previous generation. For any politicians to last more than one generation is like heaven come down on earth because on average most them do not even last one generation then they have the secret key to control the hearts of others metaphorically with words.

According to the congressional research service that came out on January third (3rd), 2019, the average tenure years served by members of the senate and members of house of representation have changed from high to low and from low to high since 1789. Throughout the 19th century, the average tenure years served the senate members were around three (3) to five (5) years; In the meanwhile, the tenure years served members of congress have been stable to relatively to two (2) to three (3) years in office. We can see an increase in tenure years served in office by senators and members of house of representatives throughout the twentieth (20th) century. For example, the tenure years for senators have increased from under five (5) years to an average of ten (10) years; for members of house of representatives, it has increased from three (3) years to nine (9) years. As of January 2019, the average tenure years for members of house of representatives has slightly

gone down from nine (9) years to eight point six (8.6) years; in the meanwhile, it has stayed steady for members of the senate with ten point one (10.1) yeas. The two (2) common factors that influenced this study are whether members of congress seek re-election or resignation which affects they variations in tenure of years in office. In the 19th century, members of congress were more likely to resign from than they do now, and they were less likely to run for re-election. Both the senate and members of the house of the representatives are more likely now to run for re-election which explains the increase in tenure of years in office. The approach to politics was to serve the nation or their constituencies; now, it is more about making a career of it and keeping power for a very longtime which is very lucrative and constantly finance by corporate lobbyists.

It is an amazing thing when politicians, most specifically, when young politicians decide to get involved in politics for the purpose of making their communities better. Such brave ambitions to take actions must be encouraged and applauded in its full comprehension. There are no perfect political systems in the world; our varies attitude, varies nuances which shapes our thinking process and also shapes our behaviors of all sorts of forms. There are not specific requirements for people to participate in the political process, plus most politicians are cunning in their ways of doing business and that is the reason I do my project based on political psychology which leads to political manipulations of all forms. In a philosophic way of understanding the evolution of behaviors of politicians is complex: First, people go through transitions in life; Second, people change because they want to change for whatever purpose; Third, material things does affect changes in people; Fourth, we know people might be in the foreseeable future but we do know for sure when, how, what, who might influences people to change we are not sure if it's ever to happen. Since we are unsure what people going to turn out to be, therefore, we tend to give people a chance of reasonable doubt. All societies are made up by communities of human beings, therefore, we

have no choice but to give people a chance of reasonable doubt because we have to live with them, live among them, and live next to them. It would not be healthy to not trust people because that in the long run will have negative impact upon oneself. Skeptics of trust for people including politicians must not be suggested because for the reasons as I mentioned above; it will affect or it will have an impact on everything in one's life such as confidence, relationships, stress, happiness, et cetera.

The influence of technology, and most specifically, the influence of the web and journalistic in the political sphere. Technology has made our lives a lot easier with access to information we might otherwise would not have access to. Technology has enables us to get places a lot faster, enables us to get places that might otherwise inaccessible by human. On the one hand, technology comes with its downside just like every positive walks side by side with its negative. Just as people use technology to advance their lives, some people also use technology to take advantage and manipulate others and that is including politicians who are power hungry. For example, far right and far left with extreme political stance were marginal, and now, those voices become very popular and known all over Europe because of the magic of technology such as the internet. In Italy, the five-star movement which was a local and a marginal movement is now known all over Europe; Parallel to the (adf) in Germany which stands for alternative fur Deutschland; In Poland, they have law and justice party (pis), and all these extremists' groups have risen and swept across Europe in the last decade due to exposure from the internet. They made a name for themselves and then become popular with large numbers of followers which make its harder for local authority to contain them. After the market crash crisis of 2008, many of those people have been pushed to the edge without much to lose but to be extreme by accusing globalization, global elites who tried to control the world, cultural liberalization, mass immigrations, and the loosen grip on national

sovereignty to world elites. At least, those subjects were known to be the main reasons for the rise of those extremists' political groups in Europe, most specifically in the last ten (10) years. After the 2008 market crash, European Society became more rigid, more politically polarized, and more divisive due to distrust, and uncertainties which those groups were suspicious and accused of caused by liberal politics, global elites, and mass immigrations.

Unfortunately for those who anti, and fortunately, for those in favor of those extremists' political groups that they were rising with a popular trend where most Europeans were tuning to the internet for their news rather than television or radio. The internet is the right place those guys to share their extreme political views, doctrines, and ideology. While the people tuned in to the internet for their news content, those populist movements took advantage by promoting false information, distort information, and send them out to those who will consume it to radicalized their followers, make them hateful of others, make them angry racists and xenophobic toward those who might look different who are deemed to be foreigners. They took advantage in the loophole of a less regulated media to promoted ideologies and manipulations of people. By sending out misleading information to their followers, they gained huge ground in Europe which expand to other places such as North America, and Australia. As I mentioned before, bad politicians are as clever as good politicians; sometimes and in a lot times, bad politicians are more intelligent and trickier than those of politicians who truly would want to serve their communities of people without the detriment of others. Sadly, politics is a zero-sum game in most countries where oppositions will be either neutralized, annihilated, exiled or compromised at their survival expense to accept a political party or community or a society who might deemed to be either, extremist, nationalist, xenophobic, racist, cultural conservatist, et cetera. Although, the only two (2) words that are might be automatically red flags would be xenophobic, and racist; a politician can be extremist, nationalist,

cultural conservatist, and yet, still be a unified leader, and a leader with integrity and not someone who would gain power at the expense of other political opponents or the people. Like the say goes, (power is a struggle), in every struggle there will be blood, tussles, punches, destructions, kicks, mobility, immobility, it is all depends on the players' capabilities, and the will to win or the will to lose because politics became a zero-sum game in Europe, North America, Australia.

In the end, the weak will always lose and the strong powerful will always win. Almost all colonizers would say they are colonizing the colonized for his own good; in the same lens, most politicians would confess that they are working for the people. On the other hands, I would always contest such positions of colonizers and politicians. History has thought us real lessons of life that we can learn from or we should learn from. Through exploitation of others who are deemed to be (inferior), the so-called (superior) sect used them as slaves, servitudes, prisoners of war, labor workers in capitalistic societies. The British and the Dutch in South Africa colonized and dominated the Indigenous Afrikaans for over century. The British put in place the system of apartheid which denied access of proper education, public services, proper treatment, decent jobs to the Indigenous people, the local Colored, and the Indian minority. At the turn of the 1980's, the Youths started to get and understand that enough is enough. After suffer so much pain at the hands Dutch and British, they had no choice but to revolt because it the aesthetic pain reached point either you revolt or you die. The second option is not an option because nobody wants to die even if they can see death around the corner. By the beginning of the 1990's, Nelson Mandela released from prison; together with the Youths of South Africa, they overthrew the system of apartheid and took back their country. Progress came slowly but they are in charge of their destiny in a country they can call theirs.

Same pattern in Nigeria where the British had colonized for many years then the Nigerians has

kicked them out; but on their way out they left void of chaos in the country that the people of Nigeria are paying prices until this day. During the British reign in Nigeria, they had moved around and misplaced many tribes who had different traditions, customs, languages, et cetera. The rulers of Nigeria after the British were unable to managed such quagmire of religious tribes fighting each other. At times, European military convoys funds and sponsored those groups to fight each other to create chaos and to keep the country out of order just to come in as mediators to exploit large Nigerians oil refineries and other natural resources. The United States which the home large minority groups; things have not never been easy for them neither at any times in history. For example, spent three hundred (300) years in slavery, nearly a century under Jim Crow laws, more recently police brutality and been manipulated by crooked or corrupt politicians who only after power and the green Benjamin. The domination is has become less physical but more psychological. The psychological of minority is very vague and is not easy to detect because it has been white liberal ally not the enemy. That is what the game is about, it is about controlling minorities and they are supposed to see it. The plan is to keep opposite forces against each other, and constantly, they are emphasizing and promoting ideas of ways of antagonism because they do not want to see peace among the races. The plan is to create race war in the nation, and most specifically, between blacks and conservative whites in the United States which is a divide to conquer strategy. That is one of the main reasons the ku klux klan was created by Albert pike after the American civil war. It was created liberal politicians who wanted to start a race war by creating the ku klux klan to antagonized black people. Since we truly have a clear idea of their evil plan then it is up to us the people to unite and protect each other to prevent such evilness from taking place. Domination of the weak comes in all forms and many dimensions for many different purposes: if it could be physical, it will be psychological or it could be both forms simultaneously.

Works cited

I. Christina Murray, Richard Simeon. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, Volume 5, issue 4, pages 699-729.

II. Osaghae, E. *Managing multiple minority problems in a divided society: The Nigerian*. *The Journal of modern African Studies*, 36 (1), 1-24.

III. Amy Drew, Scott Sleaf, and Anna Mikulak, *when the minority becomes the majority*.

IV. Alba, Richard. "What Majority-Minority Society? A Critical Analysis of the Census Bureau's Projections of America's Demographic Future." *Socius*, (January 2018). [https:// doi.org/10.1177/2378023118796932](https://doi.org/10.1177/2378023118796932).

V. Maureen A. Craig, Jennifer A. Richeson *On the Precipice of a "Majority-Minority" America: Perceived Status Threat from the racial demographic shift affects White Americans' Political Ideology*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09561452711113>

VI. Manzetti, Luigi. *Political manipulations and market reforms failures*. "World Politics 55, no. 3 (2003): 315-60- [doi:10.1353/wp.2003.0017](https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2003.0017)

VII. Nebot, Oksana Lychkovska. *Participative media practices in Ukrainian social media: Constructors of personal values and the new identities versus ways of Political manipulations*. <https://frenchjournalformmediaresearch.com/lodel-1.0/main/index>

VIII. Anwar, Sajid. *Factor mobility, wage inequality and welfare: volume 17, issue 4, pages 495-506*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2007.04.002>

IX. Marx, Karl, 1818-1883. *Das Kapital, a critique of political economy*. Chicago: H Regnery, 1959.

X. Yochai Benkler, Robert Faris, and Hal Roberts, *Network Propaganda: Manipulation, Disinformation, and radicalization in American Politics*, New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 2008, p.3, p 472. (paperback). Epistemic crisis, (p.3) Epistemic crisis, newtwork propaganda.

XI. Nilay Bilsel & Ozgur Dincyurek: (2017) *Education under the shadow of politics: School buildings in Cyprus during the British colonial period*, *Pedagogical Historical*, 53:4, 394-410, DOI: 10.1080/00309230.2017.1290664

XII. *Social Psychology: The Psychology of Political Domination*. By Prof. Carl Murchison. (The International University Series in Psychology.) Pp. x+ 210. (Worcester, Mass.: Clark University Press; London: Oxford University Press, 1929.)

XIII. *American journal of Political Science*, vol.21, no. 4 (November1977), pp 669-693; Joseph Schlesinger, *Ambitions and Politics: Political Careers in the United States* (Chicago: Rand McNally, 1966).

XIV. Cottam, M., Mastors., E., Preston, T., Dietz, B. (2004). *Introduction to Political Psychology*. New York: Psychology Press.

XV. Hébou, Beatrice. *The Political Anatomy of Domination*, (book) the discovery, 2011, 2017.

XVI. Kasfir N. *Class, Political Domination and the African State*. In: Ergas, Z. (eds) *The African State in Transition*. Palgrave Macmillan, London.

XVII. *What is the Political Psychology?* <https://pprg.stanford.edu/what-is-political-psychology?>

XVIII. Mutz, Dana. *Political Psychology and choice* in Russell J. Dalton and Hans-Dieter Klingerman, ds., *The Oxford Handbook of Political Behavior*. Oxford University Press.

XIX. Clementson, David. How web comments affect perceptions of Political Interviews

journalistic Control. Political Psychology/volume 40/issue 4. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12560>

XX. Panel for the Future of Science and technology. European Parliament Research Service.



DOMINATION

Political Poems

Senior project I & II: Volume II

Political Science Senior Project I & II: SPJ 4990 & 4991

Fall Semester 2020: Volume II

Table of contents of poems

Domination / Ronald Jean-Charles / page

Never / Ronald Jean-Charles / page

Walk the talk / Ronald Jean-Charles / page

Long Journey / Ronald Jean-Charles / page

Eugenics / Ronald Jean-Charles / page

Tragic / Ronald Jean-Charles / page

Always remember / Ronald Jean-Charles / page

It's all or nothing / Ronald Jean-Charles / page

The Political game / Ronald Jean-Charles / page

Condemnation / Ronald Jean-Charles / page

Domination

By Ronald Jean-Charles

You've spent a lifetime working on finding ways to take me down, to put me down under control.

Somehow, someway, you want me serve you and live your life; you are the devil, stop lurking, stop bugging me.

You know that I know what you want from me for god's sake and you are not going to get it; I've got to be real with myself.

Nothing is more important to me in this world than love; it seems to me you are not concern with such ideal.

If one is lack of love for one's neighbor that subject is a lost cause with a body living without a soul.

A being living without soul is like a being living like a zombie without purpose or direction in this lonely world.

One thing is for certain that all beings are unique in their own shapes of forms as individuals in the world of vanity.

One thing is for certain that all beings are unique in their own ways with their own senses and capacities.

No one is superior to another being because we are all part of this big family of humanity, I know this for sure.

God almighty, we were made by you to reflect your image in this magnificent planet of yours, truly.

Therefore, I can no longer be worry about what another man can do to me or cannot do to me, yes indeed.

I can't play the devil's game or the colonizer's role; I must not bow down but to stand up to or against wrongdoing.

I know for sure I won't let you sell my soul to you; I know for sure I won't let you burn my soul to the ground.

In no way I should let you do to me what you did to my Great Great Grand Parents a few three to four centuries back.

I know you arrogantly thinking you were put on earth to save me; I know what I stand for, what do you stand for?

I know you might be thinking that you were put on this planet to dominate us; I don't think so, I greatly doubt you know we made you.

The colonizers fooled the colonized, they tricked the weak, they've imposed their ways on others to obey or be damned.

Look at us now, they did us you will continue to try us but you will never break us; we have hearts to take handle all pain.

Whenever the colonized choose not to conform to formalism, they are being criticized in most severe forms.

The colonizers want to control of all ideologies just to maintain world dominance over your society so we can follow his footstep to hell.

They've destroyed all fair-plays, undermined all facts that all human beings must be equal under laws, they hate this sequel.



Never**by Ronald Jean-Charles**

Do you think you can keep on exploit us as puppets?
Do you think it's okay to do everyone as you please?
Do you think you can go to one's home and do whatever?
Do you think you can go to one's home take his belongings?
Your lousiness can make people sick, and it causes confusion.
The colonizers' hostility on humanity has caused fatalities.
Why do you want to treat others so bad like none humans?
The colonizers want to control everything and tame everyone.

The colonizers want to put you in your place and one bucket.
The colonizers will try to break your pride and self-esteem.
Do they have any sense of conscience and the six senses?
Obviously, it is inhuman to colonize others in any manner.
It is up to the colonizers to stop play the game of evilness.
The colonizers' tricks are well-exposed and the time is limited.
People are no longer naive and they will always remember.
We can work together or we can destroy ourselves together.
The ball is in everyone's court to understanding each other.

Walk the talk**By Ronald Jean-Charles**


On the contrary, I can't be satisfied.
In fact, there is no real satisfaction.
How do you expect us to be happy?
Do you still have low expectations of us?
If that is really the case, then let us be.
There is nothing that they can do to heal us.
The pain has been buried way too deep inside.
The fact may seem impossible to comprehend.

Can't repair ages of damages overnight.
We can focus on positivity, overtime to overcome.
Here, we must rise from centuries of darkness.
The prophecy shows a sign of the end of the old era.
Solutions might seem mysterious to decades of misery.
The colonized must be impatient for his emancipation.
Do what you say, say what you do is walk the talk.
Anyone who is free psychologically is free physically.

Long Journey
By Ronald Jean-Charles

I'm riding on the train heading to the other side of town.
Now, I know the journey is long, but getting there is a must.
People have lost their minds, and gone down in the dead wild.
The ride can be rough, unkind; there are times it will make you cry.
A ride on the train to the sin city can make us forget about reality.
Some with weak minds take pills to maintain, escaping is a fantasy.
No one can deny that you've been fouled, abused, deprived of dignity.

Your isolation is the sign of your invisibility in the dead society.
Unluckily, your alienation is the green light to self-destruction.
Unfortunately, your self-hatred is a psychological pain imposed on you.
The colonizer says he's not one to blame for his crime, they've forced conformity.
You've all fall for the mess of belonging, you want to purify, it's unbelievable.
There is no sign of coming back to the trap; it seems we are in the red zone, indeed.
They've trapped the colonized being since birth in trenches; you're all doomed to fail.



Eugenics
by Ronald Jean-Charles

Do not jump on board too fast if unsure of facts,
 Do not jump on board too fast if skeptical of truths.
 The captain might not mean what he says all the time,
 Do not fall for crafty words and hidden intentions, indeed.
 When the push comes to shove in politics of correctness,
 the bottom gets buried deeper and even deeper down, down.
 The politicians will always convince you when it is time,
 The devil tells you lies and play on things you idolized.
 keep in mind what you value, analyze, and criticize things;

They will address every issue from their own perspectives.
 They will tell you what they want you to hear, love;
 Do not fulfill the colonizers' dreams, pay attention.
 One way to get off their grip, one has to know thyself.
 Today's politics is fulfilling Margaret Sanger evil legacy.
 After all, the politicians do not think highly of you, at all;
 Margaret Sanger advocated for eugenics program in the 1920's.
 Margaret Sanger believed in the destruction of humanity;
 Margaret Sanger believed eugenics can decrease black population in U.S.
 Margaret Sanger believed eugenics wanted to slowdown black population growth.

Margaret Sanger, "The white lady invented planned-parenthood to push birth control, abortion on Black families to stop having unwanted Black children because she believed they became huge burden on mainstream white American society." Margaret Sanger, your evil ideas caused genocide in Europe. Margaret Sanger, your abortion ideas were meant to hurt us. Margaret Sanger, the evil woman who also inspired the klu klux klan. Margaret Sanger, the evil woman who inspired the Nazis. Whoever supports might need to dig deeper in her archives. At the end of each day, history defines the present; the present defines the future, one must think about that. Loyalty does not exist in politics; put your money where the interest lies, so that you do not regret your decision. What they say in one thing; what they mean another thing; Believe or not, their real intentions are always hidden. Take control of your destiny; it's the most you can do. Question everything and do not let anything slip by. The real and only true love is when you love yourself.

Tragic
by Ronald Jean-Charles

What I see is tragic,
Unnatural is the logic.
Race is not genetic,
Race is a social-construction.
We're all one human race,
Is it hard to face the fact?
People trapped themselves in the insanity,
Isn't it that sin unbelievable?
Some never got a chance to know,
the kids don't like your school system.
You might think they are unfit kids,
but they think the school is jail or prison.
They are reflections of your failures,
The system criminalize the youths,
the system does not protect the youths,
The kids are not financial tools your toys,
the kids can't take it any longer from you,
They sick of being taking for fools.

Always remember
by Ronald Jean-Charles

I remember when I used to sit in my classroom reminisce about if I had enough strength and patience to suffer this.

I thought about the need of having enough capabilities to handle the tribulations and the need to demonstrate it.

I need to orchestrate, to stand firmly for my ancestors' wishes against all antagonists in this land of ours.

It was my courtesy and every kid's fantasy to practice, to imitate every rational actions of John's prophecies.

I can see the fear in my mom eyes; I can see the fear in my Father's eyes; I promise them I will never let them down.

They've found a way to distract the colonized being and the best thing to do is to not let distractions get in the way.

Always remember that nothing is guaranteed in this life of ours, nothing should be in the way of your vision.

Always remember your colonizer's social approach is extremely important; the approach will always determine the outcome.

Do not stand still in this world of jungle with wild animals; use your mobility to seek the truth, of course.

Explore the world; explore yourself as a being; get to know your brothers and sisters; get to know your real family.

You must know your family in other to know your roots; in times of trouble always go back to your roots for back up.

When you resist to exist against the antagonist; you can never be a pessimist for one second because of your situation.

It's all or nothing
by Ronald Jean-Charles

The colonized being supposed to be the iron tool that keeps the system running.
 Supposedly, they are not human enough to participate in the political system.
 They feel fatigue, but can't get a rest; their bodies are breaking-down.
 The marginalization of every colonized being is a tactic that has been used.
 They do not think the colonized being can be a worthy productive being.
 They call all the shots by disapproving everything the colonized worth of.
 It is deja vu and well-expected when one of the colonized being die.
 It is not deja vu and it is a tragedy or the end of the world when a colonizer dies.
 The colonized being do not have full value in the eyes of the colonizer.
 No matter the status of the colonized being; He is being appreciated for his materials.
 They devalue the colonized being natural beauty, and shower them with money.
 No matter how you play the game: they will always win; you are playing their games.
 The nature of the beast is the game belong to whomever make the rules to play by.

They make the rules and the colonized cannot afford to make mistakes in the games.
 To win: the colonized being must change the rules; the colonized being must change.
 The colonized must be in charge of his destination and be his own decider.
 Such a radical step to fulfill your Individual universal emancipation is a must.
 It is risky business; it must be done with proper planning; or it wouldn't be all or nothing.
 Whenever one taking the initiative to be emancipated; it should always be done with full
 determination. The colonized have to be cunning like a desperate fox in the jungle of animals
 ready for action in anyway necessary. Just opt out of the system they've programmed for you to
 be in; that is the key to open every door that is closed.
 When you understand the matrix; you will opt out but they will have to replace you without a
 second thought. If they can't find your replacement; you as the colonized being will cause a
 friction in the system. The colonized being must understand opt out does not mean to leave
 physically but to stop doing what they want you to do.
 The colonized being must understand opt out does not mean leave physically but to stop doing
 what they want you to. It is all or nothing; your decision can't be a limited one; a limited decision
 will not achieve full individual universal emancipation for all.



The Political game
by Ronald Jean-Charles

The democrats say vote for them.
 The republicans say vote for them.
 After all, they all say to vote.
 They'll tell you; you can't ignore them.
 It has been a long history of manipulation.
 You can't ignore the party of Lincoln.
 They all say they'll cut your taxes, yes indeed.
 They all say they'll increase your wages, yes indeed.
 In this scenario; play your cards right, a lot at stake.

In reality, it never makes much difference.
 In the end, your life never gets any better.
 They are avengers and crafty communicators.
 They've screwed you so many times before.
 Don't be a pawn that is close to politicians.
 Literally, you are only important during elections.
 You are not the subject; they do not want you to.
 The politicians are sharks and snakes crawling.

They do not want to tell the truth.
 At least, anyone who is clever can see.
 Being monolithic is not best strategy for representation.
 Indeed, it makes politicians' jobs lot easier to handle.
 They forget about you all when there is no election.
 When you vote in a monolithic way; you are predictable.
 In this case; they will not respond to your needs.
 When things get tougher, rougher; the community suffers.

Mathematically: is not healthy to vote in a mono way.
 Work hard and pick and choose the proper candidate.
 Practically: they do not need to promises, of course.
 When your vote is guaranteed; they don't try to earn it.
 The chance of holding politicians accountable is slim.
 When you are easily convinced; they just use the trends.
 Proportionally votes in varieties of ways hold the cards.
 Voting unpredictability keep politicians on their toes.
 It is an obvious decision; you vote where you mouth is.
 It forces them to stick to their promises; no second guessing.

Condemnation **by Ronald Jean-Charles**

They imposed their names on the colonized.
They imposed their cultures on the colonized.
They imposed their languages on the colonized.
They make them wear their clothing style.
They make them live in western style houses.
They forced them to eat their tasteless foods.
They forced them to change their lifestyles.
They forced to obey their politics of hegemony.

They distract them from figuring out what is true.
They tell them they have to get approval or permission.
The colonized control the justice and use it to marginalize.
The justice system is in the hands of oppressive politicians.
They forced you to accept their politics, unfortunately.
They want you to see yourself through their view lenses.
In their eyes; your opinions do not matter or exist.
In their eyes; you are only existing when there is to gain.

History is always written from their perspectives.
That means you might never really know the truth.
You start to believe and get sensitive about their words.
It is important to do opposite of what they want.
To end colonization is to stop imitating them completely.
It's crucial for the colonized to embrace the self.
The colonized being must create his own reality to live in.
Encourage, organize and promote local languages and tribes.

Your roots will make you culturally strong and politically strong.
It's important for the native tribes to culture and explore, learn.
It's important to create, include, exclude, innovate, evolve, protect.
In some societies: multi-culturalism is the ideal system that works.
In some societies: Multi-culturalism must be well managed to works.
Other places or societies it does not work at all in any way.
Pay attention to small signals of defection in the local culture.
Any lee-way in a new system can be the crack to failure.



