Buffering Internalization of HIV Stigma: Implications for Treatment Adherence and Depression
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Background: One mechanism through which social stigma of HIV affects health outcomes for people living with HIV (PLWH) is through internalization of stigma. However, this transformation of social stigma in the community into internalized stigma may not be of the same magnitude for all PLWH. We examined the moderating effects of 3 personality traits—fear of negative social evaluation, attachment-related anxiety, and dispositional resilience—in transforming perceived stigma in the community into internalized stigma. Furthermore, we investigated downstream effects of these moderated associations on depressive symptoms and antiretroviral treatment (ART) adherence.

Setting/Methods: In study 1, data from 203 PLWH in the Southeast United States were analyzed controlling for age, sex, education, race, and time on ART. In study 2, data from 453 women in a multisite study were analyzed controlling for age, education, race, time on ART, and substance use.

Results: In both studies, fear of negative evaluation and attachment-related anxiety moderated the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community on internalized HIV stigma. People higher on those moderating variables had stronger associations between perceived stigma in the community and internalized stigma. In study 2, resilience was assessed and also moderated the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community on internalized stigma. In moderated mediation models, fear of negative evaluation, attachment-related anxiety, and resilience moderated the indirect effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community on ART adherence and depression through internalized stigma.
Conclusions: Interventions to assuage internalization of HIV stigma should focus on bolstering attachment-related security, social competence, and resilience.
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HIV stigma remains a significant threat to health and well-being among people living with HIV (PLWH).1–8 Increasingly, we are learning how PLWH experience and process stigma at the individual level.2,3 In a study using experience sampling method (ecological momentary assessment) among men living with HIV, recent experiences of discrimination predicted current levels of internalized HIV stigma in within-participant analyses.9 In addition, many researchers agree that internalized stigma has its roots in perceptions of stigma in the community.1,2,10,11 and there is evidence that the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community on health outcomes of PLWH is mediated by the internalization of stigma in the community. These findings suggest that intrapersonal mechanisms may be key determinants of how interpersonal experiences such as social stigma in communities become internalized and have downstream effects on individual health.

However, it is possible that the transformation of social stigma in communities into internalized stigma is not of the same magnitude for all PLWH; those with certain traits that trigger insecurities/doubts about their social value or social status may be more vulnerable to internalizing stigma. Research is needed to identify potential buffers (or enhancers) of the internalization of stigma. We propose that 3 intrapersonal variables (personality traits)—fear of negative social evaluation, attachment-related anxiety, and dispositional resilience—play important roles in the internalization of stigma perceived in the community.

Individuals who worry that others will judge them negatively may be sensitized to social status issues and may monitor the environment frequently for cues about negative evaluation.13 Social stigma creates a threat to one’s status12,14,15 and may activate worries about one’s value, especially if there are pre-existing insecurities about one’s value. Research in other areas—such as body image and mothering perceptions—suggests that individuals are more likely to internalize negative perceptions in the community about a certain attribute if they fear negative social evaluation.16 Thus, people with higher fear of negative social evaluation may be more vulnerable to being affected by social stigma and more susceptible to internalizing stigma.

Similarly, attachment-related anxiety may moderate the association between perceived HIV stigma in the community and internalized stigma. According to attachment theory, interactions with others shape how people perceive themselves, their relationships with others, and stressors.17–19 Among the 2 dimensions of attachment insecurity—attachment-related anxiety and attachment-related avoidance—attachment-related anxiety has particular importance in this research. Individuals with high attachment-related anxiety have chronic worries about their value in interpersonal relationships, generalized worries about rejection, and doubts about self-worth.20–22 Furthermore, their perceived self-worth heavily depends on others’ approval.23–26 Research suggests that resilient personality—one’s capacity to overcome adversity27,28—is a key determinant of health disparities in HIV populations,28 and dispositional resilience may buffer the negative effects of HIV stigma on HIV-related health outcomes.29,30 Research in other areas suggests that similar constructs—such as dispositional self-esteem—are protective against negative self-evaluations in the face of upward comparisons with others who possess more valued attributes.31 Therefore, PLWH with higher levels of resilience may view themselves as capable of thriving regardless of perceived HIV stigma in the community—and not internalize stigma.

Thus, we hypothesized that higher fear of negative evaluation and attachment-related anxiety would exacerbate the association between perceived HIV stigma in the community and internalized stigma, whereas resilience would buffer this association. We further explored whether these associations extend to the downstream health outcomes of depressive symptoms and antiretroviral treatment (ART) adherence (Fig. 1). Two distinct samples of PLWH were used for the present cross-sectional analyses.

FIGURE 1. Proposed moderated mediation model.

METHODS

Participants and Procedures

Study 1 included 203 participants recruited from an outpatient HIV care clinic in Birmingham, AL. Inclusion criteria were currently being on an ART regimen and not reporting current substance use. Participants completed measures through computer-based survey.

Study 2 included 453 women who were part of the Women’s Adherence and Visit Engagement (WAVE) substudy of the Women’s Intergency HIV Study (WHIS), a multisite cohort study.32 WAVE includes data on psychosocial aspects of living with HIV among women at 4 of the 10 WHIS sites, including San Francisco, CA, Atlanta, GA, Birmingham, AL, and Jackson, MS. Data collected through WAVE are linked to data collected through the WHIS core.
data collection, including self-reported depressive symptoms and ART adherence.

**Measures**

**Demographic Information**

In both studies, participants reported their demographic information including their age, sex, race, education, and time on ART. In study 2, participants reported whether they used substances in the past 6 months.

**HIV Stigma**

In both studies, 2 subscales of the revised HIV Stigma Scale were used to assess perceived HIV stigma in the community and internalized HIV stigma. Participants responded to questions on a 4-point scale ranging from 1: “strongly disagree” to 4: “strongly agree.” Perceived HIV stigma in the community was measured using the 6-item concern with public attitudes subscale, eg, “Most people believe that a person with HIV is dirty.” (study 1 $\alpha = 0.84$; study 2 $\alpha = 0.86$). Internalized HIV stigma was measured using the 7-item negative self-image subscale, eg, “I feel I am not as good as others because I have HIV.” (study 1 $\alpha = 0.85$; study 2 $\alpha = 0.86$).

**Fear of Negative Evaluation**

In both studies, the Brief Fear of Negative Evaluation Scale assessed participants’ fear of negative evaluation using 12 questions, eg, “I am frequently afraid of other people noticing my shortcomings.” Participants responded using a 5-point scale from 1: “not at all characteristic of me” to 5: “extremely characteristic of me” (study 1 $\alpha = 0.81$; study 2 $\alpha = 0.82$).

**Attachment-Related Anxiety**

In both studies, the 18-item version of the Experiences in Close Relationships was used. Experiences in Close Relationships assesses 2 dimensions of attachment insecurity: attachment-related anxiety (eg, “I frequently feel I am not as good as others because I have HIV.”) and attachment-related avoidance (eg, “I prefer not to show a partner how I feel deep down”). Participants responded to each item on a 7-point Likert scale.

**Dispositional Resilience**

The Brief Resilience Scale is a 6-item measure of dispositional resilience, eg, “I tend to bounce back quickly after hard times.” Participants of only study 2 responded to each item on a 5-point Likert scale ($\alpha = 0.79$).

**Depressive Symptoms**

In study 1, depressive symptoms were assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9. It queries how often participants were bothered by symptoms (eg, “little interest of pleasure in doing things”) over the last 2 weeks on a 4-point scale from 0: “not at all,” to 3: “nearly every day.” In study 2, depressive symptoms were assessed using the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale. Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale asks how often participants experienced symptoms over the past week (eg, “I thought my life had been a failure.”) on a scale from 0: “rarely or none of the time (less than 1 day),” to 4: “most or all of the time (5–7 days).”

**ART Adherence**

In study 1, participants reported their ability to take all HIV medication prescribed using a 6-point scale ranging from 1 (very poor) to 6 (excellent). Literature suggests this is a valid adherence measure associated with viral suppression. Responses were dichotomized as 1: excellent adherence (optimal) and 0: less than excellent adherence (suboptimal). In study 2, adherence was assessed with one self-reported item asking participants how often they took their ART medications as prescribed over the past 6 months. Responses ranged from 1: “100% of the time” to 5: “I haven’t taken any of my prescribed medications.” As in previous studies, responses were dichotomized using an empirically supported cutoff for optimal versus suboptimal adherence at 95%; 1: $\geq 95\%$ (optimal adherence) and 0: $<95\%$ (suboptimal adherence).

**Data Analysis**

The following covariates were used in all study 1 analyses: age, sex, education attainment, race (0: nonwhite, and 1: white), and time on ART. For study 2 analyses, the following covariates were used: age, education attainment, race (0: nonwhite, and 1: white), substance use, and time on ART. Linear models were used for continuous outcomes, and logistic models were used for binary outcomes. We first tested interaction effects of each proposed moderator (fear of negative evaluation, attachment-related anxiety, and resilience) with perceived HIV stigma in the community on internalized HIV stigma. Next, we evaluated moderated mediation models. We tested whether fear of negative evaluation, attachment-related anxiety, and resilience moderated the indirect effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community on depression (and then on ART adherence) through internalized stigma. The overall model hypothesized with its distinct moderator, and outcome variables is depicted in Figure 1. We tested fear of negative evaluation and attachment-related anxiety using data from both studies and the additional moderator of resilience with study 2 data.

Exploratory moderated mediation analyses were conducted with PROCESS, a regression-based macro for SPSS. Bootstrapping was used to evaluate indirect effects of the models. A significant indirect effect is indicated by a (bias-corrected) confidence interval not containing zero. Moderation effects were evaluated examining simple slopes at 1 SD above and below the mean of each moderator. Unstandardized path/regression coefficients are reported for all analyses.

**RESULTS**

Descriptive statistics and correlations among study variables are presented in Table 1.
**Study 1 Results**

The regression equation using internalized HIV stigma as the dependent variable revealed a significant interaction between fear of negative evaluation and perceived HIV stigma in the community (B = 0.25, SE = 0.09, t = 2.72, P = 0.007) (Full regression results reported in Table 1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B245). Follow-up simple slope analyses are depicted in Figure 2 and revealed that the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community was larger at 1 SD above the mean for fear of negative evaluation (B = 0.55, SE = 0.09, t = 6.15, P < 0.001) compared with the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community at 1 SD below the mean for fear of negative evaluation (B = 0.21, SE = 0.08, t = 2.48, P = 0.01). That is, at high levels of fear of negative evaluation (+1 SD), internalized HIV stigma increased 0.55 units for every unit increase in perceived HIV stigma in the community, whereas at low levels of fear of negative evaluation (–1 SD), internalized HIV stigma increased 0.21 units for every unit increase in perceived HIV stigma in the community.

---

**TABLE 1. Descriptive Statistics and Correlations for Key Variables of Study 1 and Study 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Study 1 (N = 203)</th>
<th>Study 2 (N = 453)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M (SD) Range or n</td>
<td>M (SD) Range or n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(%) CS IS AA FNE Dep Adh</td>
<td>(%) CS IS AA FNE Res Dep Adh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>44.8 (11.1) 24–71</td>
<td>49.1 (9.4) 28–82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female‡</td>
<td>74 (36%)</td>
<td>453 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonwhite race‡</td>
<td>130 (64%)</td>
<td>389 (86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>3.1 (1.0) 1–6</td>
<td>4.2 (1.0) 2–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months on ART</td>
<td>95.8 (63.1) 12–242</td>
<td>104.1 (71.8) 1–279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No SU‡</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>304 (68%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived stigma in community</td>
<td>2.7 (0.6) 1–4</td>
<td>2.7 (0.7) 1–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internalized HIV stigma</td>
<td>2.0 (0.7) 1–4</td>
<td>2.0 (0.7) 1–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment-related anxiety</td>
<td>3.6 (1.6) 1–7</td>
<td>3.4 (1.5) 1–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear of negative evaluation</td>
<td>2.6 (0.7) 1–5</td>
<td>2.4 (0.7) 1–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispositional resilience</td>
<td>— — — — — — — —</td>
<td>1.0 0.462 0.348 0.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>3.7 (4.7) 0–27</td>
<td>14.5 (12.1) 0–54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suboptimal ART adherence‡</td>
<td>47 (22%)</td>
<td>78 (18%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.01.
†P < 0.05.
‡For dichotomous variables, reference group = 0.
§P < 0.001.
AA, attachment-related anxiety; Adh, ART adherence; CS, perceived HIV stigma in the community; Dep, depressive symptoms; FNE, fear of negative evaluation; IS, internalized HIV stigma; Res, dispositional resilience; SU, substance use.

---

**FIGURE 2. Interaction of fear of negative evaluation with perceived HIV stigma in the community (centered) on internalized HIV stigma in (A) study 1 and (B) study 2.**

Copyright © 2018 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.
Internalized HIV stigma only increased 0.21 units for every unit increase in perceived HIV stigma in the community.

Next, attachment-related anxiety was entered as a moderator instead of fear of negative evaluation, which produced similar results. The interaction between attachment-related anxiety and perceived HIV stigma in the community had a significant effect (B = 0.10, SE = 0.04, t = 2.80, P = 0.006) (full regression results reported in Table 2, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B245). Follow-up simple slope analyses are shown in Figure 3 and revealed that the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community was larger at 1 SD above the mean for attachment-related anxiety (B = 0.58, SE = 0.09, t = 6.60, P < 0.001) compared with the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community at 1 SD below the mean for attachment-related anxiety (B = 0.25, SE = 0.09, t = 2.81, P = 0.006). Individuals reporting higher attachment-related anxiety had 0.58 units increase in internalized HIV stigma for every unit increase in HIV stigma in the community compared with individuals with lower attachment-related anxiety whose internalized HIV stigma increased by 0.25 units. Results were very similar when attachment-related avoidance was added as a covariate.

We tested the moderated mediation hypothesis that the indirect effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community on depressive symptoms through internalized HIV stigma is moderated by fear of negative evaluation and attachment-related anxiety (moderated mediation indices and conditional indirect effects are presented in Table 4, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B245). The index of moderated mediation was significant: index = 0.33, SE = 0.20, 95% CI: 0.03 to 0.81. Individuals with higher fear of negative evaluation (+1 SD) had an increase of 0.74 units in depressive symptoms for every unit increase in perceived HIV stigma in the community through internalized HIV stigma. On the other hand, individuals with lower fear of negative evaluation (−1 SD) had only 0.28-unit increase in depressive symptoms for every unit increase in perceived HIV stigma in the community through internalized HIV stigma. The index of moderated mediation was also significant when attachment-related anxiety was the moderator: index = 0.14, SE = 0.09, 95% CI: 0.01 to 0.36 (moderated mediation indices and conditional indirect effects are presented in Table 4, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B245).

When ART adherence was the outcome (moderated mediation indices and conditional indirect effects are presented in Table 5, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B245), fear of negative evaluation did not moderate the indirect effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community through internalized HIV stigma (index = −0.10, SE = 0.10, 95% CI: −0.33 to 0.06). When attachment-related anxiety was entered as the moderator, the index of moderated mediation was also not significant (index = −0.05, SE = 0.04, 95% CI: −0.15 to 0.02).

Study 2 Results

The regression equation using internalized HIV stigma as the dependent variable again revealed a significant interaction between fear of negative evaluation and perceived HIV stigma in the community (B = 0.18, SE = 0.05, t = 3.40, P < 0.001) (full regression results reported in Table 1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B245). Follow-up simple slope analyses are depicted in Figure 2 and revealed that the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community was larger at 1 SD above the mean for fear of negative evaluation (B = 0.53, SE = 0.06, t = 8.43, P < 0.001) compared with the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community at 1 SD below the mean for fear of negative evaluation (B = 0.26, SE = 0.06, t = 4.66, P < 0.001). Individuals reporting a higher fear of negative evaluation had a 0.53-unit increase in internalized HIV stigma for every unit increase in perceived HIV stigma in the community, relative to individuals reporting lower fear of negative evaluation whose internalized HIV stigma increased by 0.26 units for every unit increase in perceived HIV stigma in the community.

As in study 1, results were similar when attachment-related anxiety was used instead of fear of negative evaluation.
as the moderator. The interaction between attachment-related anxiety and perceived HIV stigma in the community had a significant effect (B = 0.07, SE = 0.03, t = 2.71, P = 0.01) (Full regression results reported in Table 2, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B245). Follow-up simple slope analyses (Fig. 3) revealed that the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community was larger at 1 SD above the mean for attachment-related anxiety (B = 0.48, SE = 0.07, t = 7.17, P < 0.001) compared with the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community at 1 SD below the mean for attachment-related anxiety (B = 0.25, SE = 0.06, t = 4.10, P < 0.001). Individuals with higher attachment-related anxiety had 0.48-unit increase in internalized HIV stigma for every unit increase in perceived HIV stigma in the community compared to individuals with lower attachment-related anxiety whose internalized HIV stigma increased by 0.25 units for every one unit increase in perceived HIV stigma in the community. Results were very similar when attachment-related avoidance was also added as a covariate.

Finally, we examined resilience as a potential moderator. The interaction between resilience and perceived HIV stigma in the community had a significant effect (B = −0.16, SE = 0.05, t = −3.89, P < 0.001) (Full regression results reported in Table 3, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B245). Follow-up simple-slope analyses (Fig. 4) revealed that the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community was larger at 1 SD below the mean for resilience (B = 0.49, SE = 0.06, t = 8.48, P < 0.001) compared with the effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community at 1 SD above the mean for resilience (B = 0.24, SE = 0.06, t = 4.34, P < 0.001). Individuals with lower dispositional resilience had 0.49-unit increase in internalized HIV stigma for every unit increase in perceived HIV stigma in the community, whereas individuals with higher dispositional resilience only had 0.24-unit increase in internalized HIV stigma for 1-unit increase in perceived HIV stigma in the community.

Then, we explored the moderated mediation hypothesis using 3 study moderators (fear of negative evaluation, attachment-related anxiety, and resilience) for the indirect effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community on depressive symptoms through internalized stigma (Description of moderated mediation indices and conditional indirect effects is presented in Table 4, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B245). The index of moderated mediation was significant for fear of negative evaluation (index = 1.34, SE = 0.42, 95% CI: 0.55 to 2.17). Moderated mediation was also significant for attachment-related anxiety (index = 0.52, SE = 0.22, 95% CI: 0.13 to 0.98). Dispositional resilience also moderated the indirect effect of perceived HIV stigma in the community on depressive symptoms (index = −1.21, SE = 0.37, 95% CI: −2.01 to −0.52).

Moderated mediation was also supported for all 3 moderators when ART adherence was the outcome: fear of negative evaluation (index = −0.08, SE = 0.05, 95% CI: −0.20 to −0.0004); attachment-related anxiety (index = −0.03, SE = 0.02, 95% CI: −0.09 to −0.002); and resilience (index = 0.07, SE = 0.05, 95% CI: 0.00 to 0.19) (Description of moderated mediation indices and conditional indirect effects is presented in Table 5, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/B245).

**DISCUSSION**

It is essential to understand how stigma is internalized and what variables provide partial protection against internalization, so that effective interventions can be developed to challenge stigma and mitigate its effects on health outcomes for PLWH. Results were strikingly similar in 2 separate samples: fear of negative evaluation and attachment-related anxiety seemed to exacerbate the transformation of perceived HIV stigma in the community to internalized HIV stigma. In other words, fear of negative evaluation and attachment-related anxiety are intrapersonal variables that make people more vulnerable to the internalization of social stigma. On the other hand, dispositional resilience seemed to be protective against the internalization of HIV stigma perceived in the community. These interaction effects may also have downstream consequences for depressive symptoms and ART adherence. The results should be interpreted in light of limitations. These results represent cross-sectional data, and thus, we cannot make definitive conclusions about causality. Previous research has evaluated the associations between internalized HIV stigma and poor health outcomes over time, but the moderation analyses presented here will need further exploration in longitudinal research. Both samples were recruited from HIV care clinics and largely included individuals who were engaged in care. Thus, these results may not generalize to PLWH not in care. In study 1, we did not find any significant associations with ART adherence, although this was likely attributable to the smaller sample of study 1 compared with study 2.
Despite these limitations, this study reveals individual differences that moderate the association between perceived HIV stigma in the community and internalized HIV stigma. Although these findings focus on individual variables, we must also underscore that these intrapersonal variables interacted with interpersonal sources of stigma. Consequently, interpersonal and structural interventions are also needed to enact change at the community and system levels to reduce HIV stigma in the community that may in turn reduce internalized stigma. Interventions involving churches and faith-based organizations that provide education and positive messages about HIV have shown some success in reducing stigma and increasing HIV-testing behavior.54 Similarly, training popular opinion leaders in community settings can reduce HIV stigma through modeling behavior and attitudes to change social norms.56 Another intervention that brings together PLWH and health care workers in a workshop setting to address HIV stigma showed feasibility and acceptability.47 However, community-level interventions can take time to enact change.48 Existing individual-level interventions targeting internalized stigma and/or HIV outcomes may need to be tailored to the level of fear of negative evaluation, attachment-related anxiety, and resilience of individual PLWH. Interventions can also include targeted cognitive strategies (and its contemporaries, such as acceptance and commitment therapy), in which thoughts related to HIV stigma are challenged, so that they do not activate internalized stigma, but rather trigger resilience beliefs.49–52

Furthermore, existing interventions targeting fear of negative evaluation—such as cognitive-behavioral treatments commonly used for social anxiety disorder and social phobia that include exposure methods—can be delivered in group format or individually.53 Similarly, strategies have been developed in psychology and social work to help people understand and change their generalized attachment models through increasing awareness and challenging dysfunctional beliefs (eg, “I am not able to deal with life on my own”), identifying maladaptive interpersonal patterns, and challenging maladaptive hyperactivating and deactivating strategies when facing challenges. These can be delivered in group settings54,55 or individually.56 In addition, there is evidence that other (not attachment focused) counseling approaches can also improve interpersonal relationship security.56–59 Interventions targeting resilience also exist that are based on principles of cognitive-behavioral therapy, acceptance and commitment therapy, mindfulness, problem-solving therapy, and stress inoculation.50–62 These interventions could enhance the well-being of PLWH and have downstream effects on reducing internalized HIV stigma, decreasing depression and improving ART adherence, care engagement, and health outcomes for PLWH.
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